

Inside: The History of Serbian Nationalism.



# Final Conflict


Issue 35  
£1.80 €2.90 \$4.50

Against Communism and Capitalism.

## The UNHOLY ALLIANCE

Turkey and Israel's Military Alliance

## Muslim-Jewish Power?



Nationalism  
Past and  
Present.



### HOW IT WAS DONE

The rise of Burnley BNP:  
the inside story



by  
STEVEN SMITH

PLUS:  
Who is pushing  
Satanist Kerry  
Bolton's book?



**Cigarette Boxes 50p** **New Patches £3**



**New Lighters £8**



**New Stickers 50p.**



**New mini-CD £4**

**New Watches £8**



**New Badges £2.50**



**New Flags £7**



**New design FC-logo hats and shirts. £8 each plus p&p**



**Buy a new FC hat and a new FC shirt for just £15.**

Postage: 10% UK. 15% Europe. 20% RoW.  
Send orders to: Final Conflict, BCM Box 6358, London, WC1N 3XX, England.  
Cheques in GB Pounds drawn from a UK bank (no overseas cheques).  
E-mail enquiries or paypal transfers: [finalconflict@dial.pipex.com](mailto:finalconflict@dial.pipex.com)  
Telephone enquiries: 07748 410607



# EDITORIAL

## Learn the Lessons



There is little doubt that there are many opportunities for nationalism in Europe today. Opposition to immigration and the European Union are growing apace.

The extremes of American-Israeli policy, liberal ineffectiveness in the face of a criminal endemic, a homosexual lobby drunk with success in parliaments... all are leading to an electorate ill at ease with the mainstream parties, with a resultant rise in the vote for the 'small parties'.

Are we facing the balkanisation of politics? Is Europe embarking on its own Weimar Republic? Can a meaningful nationalism breakthrough the miasma of liberal half-truths?

The Chinese have indeed cursed us, for we are living through 'interesting times'.

Whatever the following months and years throw at us, as nationalists, it is essential that whilst continually working to present a positive, thinking nationalism to our peoples, we do not jettison core beliefs for short-term gain (a Blairite patriotism if you will).

Turning our backs on the central tenets of racial nationalism would be as wrong as pushing a moronic Hollywood version of racial nationalism, and while proponents of both misguided paths use each other to justify their stance, our ideology, our cause and our movement dictate that we stand aside from the traitors and the clowns.

It is also increasingly important that we learn the lessons of the past and do not get taken in by shysters and wreckers. As Europe and the UK slip into more political upheaval it is certain that nationalism will see more infiltrators than before.

There have been countless of them in the past - one only has to think of Peter Marriner and Andy Carmichael in the West Midlands. These are just two people in one region that have been openly accredited as working for Special Branch and/or MI5.

FC has taken no joy in reporting on the antics of certain others who even today are still "up to no good" within nationalism, and the evidence against them and the dirty tricks undertaken by them are legion.

The only answer is for nationalists to steer clear of the cowboys, the political gymnasts, the gossips, the ne'er-do-wells. When it comes to those with a track record of 'dodginess' - whether Marriner in the 1990s or Rushton in the 2000s - the answer is crystal clear: keep a wide berth!

It is impossible to keep out all spies and miscreants, but as long as nationalists demand and expect a certain level of morality, behaviour and basic standards, then at least we can make the enemy's job that bit more difficult. Keeping high standards will also mark us as a real alternative to the corrupt career politicians.

If the 21st Century is to bring upheaval and change (and we must want that if we are to avoid the New World Order, the European Superstate and the slow death of the White European peoples) then we will face infiltration and dirty tricks to make the 1970s, 80s and 90s look like a joy ride by comparison.

This is the lesson we all have to learn and implement. If we want to "clean up" society - we have to start within nationalism. This is no "luxury for purists" but a necessity for all nationalists of goodwill (regardless of party affiliations) if we are all to avoid the mistakes and quagmires of previous decades.

## The European National Front

The establishment and growth of the European National Front has been a good thing for nationalism, for it has encouraged not only pan-European comradeship amongst radical nationalists, but it has also brought into focus that sensible, focused nationalism that remains true to its roots can succeed.

Actions and events under the auspices of the ENF have been held right across Europe and the ENF has affiliates in a dazzling array of nations.

FC wishes the ENF every success for the future.

## SUBSCRIPTION RATES

**6 issues:** UK £10. Europe £14 / €20. USA/RoW: £17.50 / U.S.\$30 .

**12 issues:** UK £19. Europe £27 / €38. USA/RoW: £34 / U.S.\$58.



## Special offers for subscriptions\*:

For a 6 Issue subscription, any one of the following three options:

1. Fascism in England booklet (£1.50) and Sword & Cross compilation tape (£6.50); or
2. CD: Age of Conspiracy by Squadron or The Eagle & the Harp by Terre Di Mezzo/Pearse (£8); or
3. Facing the Abyss by A.K. Chesterton (£4) and 40 copies of FC's anti-Bolshevik postcards (£4).

For a 12 Issue subscription, any one of the above 3 offers, plus any one of the following three also:

4. Plus, The History of the Legionary Movement by Horia Sima (£9); or
5. Plus, A Third Positionist Reader by various (£3) and bumper literature bundle (£6); or
6. Plus, Satanism and its Allies by FC (£3.50), bundle of FC stickers (£2.50) and any 4 old FCs (£3).

*It couldn't be simpler.*

Subscribe for 6 issues and chose any one of options 1, 2 and 3.

Subscribe for 12 issues and chose any one of options 1, 2 and 3, plus any one of options 4, 5 and 6.

\*Value of free items in brackets behind each description.

**SUBSCRIBE TODAY!**

## WHAT'S IN ISSUE 35

**PAGE 4:** News round-up- Labour's animal welfare? Nationalist concert in London. etc.

**PAGES 5, 6 & 7:** Turkey & Israel - old friends, new treaties: the evil alliance.

**PAGES 8 & 9:** The history of Serbian Nationalism: against Capitalism and Communism.

**PAGES 10 & 11:** Book Review - The Radical Right in Britain by A. Sykes.

**PAGES 12, 13 & 14:** The Unwashed. News round-up of anti-nationalists, spooks & liberals.

**PAGES 15, 16 & 17:** Reviews. Books, Film, CDs, TV.

**PAGE 18:** Ranting Ron. Our irreverent columnist at his best — upsetting people!

*Our letters page will return next issue so do keep sending them in.*

## Final Conflict

ISSN 1463-614x

**Mail:** BCM Box 6358, London, WC1N

3XX, England. **Tel:** 07748 410607

**E-Mail:** finalconflict@dial.pipex.com

Final Conflict Spring 2005

FC is a quarterly magazine. We publish serious and humorous material, so if you can't think for yourself or take a joke, go and read 'Tory Respectable Monthly'.

FC is non-profit. We rely on the support of our readers to finance publication. FC is editorially independent of all organisations. Material printed doesn't necessarily reflect the views of the FC Editorial Team.

## FINAL CONFLICT DISTRIBUTORS

We are *always* looking for new distributors, so if you can help - please contact us.

Distributors in countries not covered below especially welcome!

**Argentina:** CC26, Suc17, Cap. Fed. (1417), BS AS. R\$7.00.

**Brazil:** PO Box 745, Porto Alegre, RS CEP 90.001 - 970. R\$7.00.

**Finland:** PoPgrom, PO Box 68, 04201 Kerava. 2,40.

**Iceland:** Samstada 14, PO Box 53, 810 Hveragerdi, Iceland. 250Kr.

**Poland:** NOP, 01-315, Warszawa, U.I. Lazurowa 18/3. 15,000 Zloty.

**Portugal:** FNP-XXI, Apartado 124, 2669-908, Venda do Pinheiro. 2,40.

**Romania:** Noua Dreapta, OP38 - CP198, Sector 2, Bucharest.

**Russia:** OHS, Alex, PO Box 155, 117321, Moscow. 20 Rubles.

**Serbia:** Dragan Petrovic, IVE Andrica Z-15 2/3, 35 000 Jagodina. 120 Dinars.

**Slovenia:** Sergej, Maksima Sedeja 9, 4226 Ziri. 600 SIT.

**Sweden:** Nordiska Förlaget, Box 1869, 58117 Linköping. 25 Kr.

**USA:** e-mail: finalconflict@dial.pipex.com for details. \$4.50

## FC SUPPORTERS

These give a *minimum* of £2 a month to help FC. It is open to anyone who supports the broad aims of FC. They can send their donations in monthly or set up a direct debit. Please write in for a direct debit form.

## FC CATALOGUES & LISTS

If you haven't had a copy of our 16 page colour list, please send us your details by letter or e-mail and we'll pop one in the post to you. We also have an 8 page additions list (published inside FC#34) and a brand-new 2 page print-off list of new items.

To get any of these please contact us by phone, e-mail or letter.

## FINAL CONFLICT NEWS

FC is always looking for help in spreading the nationalist message. Can you:

1. Take a few copies of this FC to sell or put us in touch with a serious distributor or retail outlet?
2. Take some FC materials (stickers, catalogues, back issues) to pass out to friends, at events etc.?
3. Promote FC on the internet, in newsgroups, forums, web-sites etc.
4. Watch [politicalsoldier.net](http://www.politicalsoldier.net) for updates.
5. Contact us if you can offer any skills, help, ideas, advice, services, facilities, products to help FC in any of its facets - online, publishing etc.

# FROM THE NEWS DESK...

## IS IT ABOUT ANIMAL RIGHTS?

LABOUR IS all about Animal Rights. Right?

*Think again sunbeam.* The facts do seem to bear out that the moves against fox hunting have more to do with knocking Tory voters than stopping cruelty to foxes.

Why else would the Labour Party, and Tony

Blair especially, categorically rule out a move against the barbaric halal and kosher slaughter methods used by Muslims and Jews?

Could it be because Muslims and Jews tend to vote Labour more often than huntsmen and hunt supporters do?

Why else would Tony Blair write to one-time East London MP, the anti-fascist, coloured/Jewish, and 'trendy' Oona King (who depends on the Muslim vote) stating the following:

*"I understand that some of your constituents are concerned that there may be a ban [on halal slaughter]. I can assure you...that we will not do anything which would affect the right of Muslims to produce and consume halal meat."*

So whilst Labour has used the argument of animals' suffering in

banning hunting, animal suffering clearly has *no impact* on their policy for halal and kosher slaughter, despite Labour's acceptance of huge donations for animal welfare lobbying groups.

This is something that nationalists should remind people in animal welfare groups about. At the *very least* those people should stop giving their money and votes to a party, which clearly cares *nothing* about animal suffering, despite the much vaunted hunt ban.


Protector of animal rights or protector of animal suffering? Depends who's voting!

## MEETING WITH RANDY WEAVER

A Texan FC supporter recently had the honour of meeting the 'hero of Ruby Ridge' Randy Weaver. FC reader Adam said Randy Weaver was incredibly friendly and made him and his son feel most welcome and at ease. Adam chose to wear his Blitzkrieg red t-shirt for the meeting, which he will always remember fondly.



FC reader Adam from Texas (left) with his son Krieger and survivor of Ruby Ridge, Randy Weaver (right)



## CENTRAL LONDON CONCERT!

Central London was the location for a highly successful concert organised by the European National Front, bringing together activists of England First, Forza Nuova, the Falange, the NPD and others.

150 supporters made the night a great success with youngsters and older comrades feeling at home in a friendly atmosphere.

Thir of Battalon De Castigo from Spain, Adunata and Sedisiosa of Hobbit from Italy, John of Nemesis from Scotland, Sisco of Warlord from England, and Frank Rennicke the world-renowned German nationalist balladeer, supplied superb ballad music for the crowd of nationalists.

With an opening speech by a representative of England First to introduce everyone to the work of the European National Front, the concert was a huge success.

The music was superb with a professional audio output also used to record the concert for a future CD.

The stalls did a brisk trade and a raffle for a Mussolini bottle of



Sisco (left) and John play a finale helped out by Steve (right) on guitar

wine donated by Final Conflict raised some extra money for the ENF.

In light of this fantastic evening there is no doubt that such events are a *must* and with the ENF

promising more events in England, to mirror events throughout Europe, nationalists are promised more great occasions!

Readers wishing to attend ENF functions in England, Germany, Italy, Spain, Greece or elsewhere should contact FC. We will keep you informed of upcoming events.

## EURO-NATIONALIST NEWS



**BULGARIA:** A torchlit vigil was held by the Bulgarian National Alliance, in Sofia to remember the murder of General Hristo Lukov in 1943 by Communists.

**GERMANY:** A delegate from the Romanian Legionary movement, Noua Dreapta, took part in the NPD's 40th anniversary celebration rally in Stolberg.

**ITALY:** Alessandra Mussolini (above left) went on hunger strike after skullduggery by the Roman Allianza Nazionale boss Storace, who attempted to stop Mussolini's candidature in Lazio.

**NETHERLANDS:** The Dutch Nationale Alliantie held a rally against Red Terror on a day specified by the system for "anti-racism."

**PORTUGAL:** The Partido Nacional Renovador held a rally in Lisbon against the EU membership of Turkey.

**SPAIN:** On the same day, a Falange rally in Madrid took the same message to the Spanish people, with speeches from many European nationalists including the NPD's Udo Voigt (right).



# TURKEY & ISRAEL

*The roots and status of an evil alliance.* By Kimon

The strong relationship that Turkey and Israel share goes back to a century ago, when Kemal Ataturk and his 'Young Turks' movement gained power. It was a period in which Zionist forces from both camps - Kemal and Theodore Hertzl - made contact with each other.

But let's take a small look in history so we can understand better the meaning of their bonding (Turkey and Israel) before we describe the status of their "co-operation" nowadays.

When the Jews of Spain were forced to leave the country at the end of the 15th century, the Ottoman Sultan welcomed many of them with open arms. In the Balkan region especially, large numbers of Jews would be settled. In the Greek city of Thessaloniki and also

Constantinople (The Greek Christian city of Byzantium which became the capitol of the Muslim Ottoman empire) the Jews would form large communities. Soon after their arrival, many of them would "change" their faith and become Muslims but they still kept some Jewish rituals<sup>1</sup>. Their power would rise and eventually they became a very important factor in the social, political and military structures of Turkey and remain so today.

In 1626 Sabetai Zevi was born. This Jewish person took a keen interest in the Talmud and Kabbalah, and finally, in 1665, would declare himself as the Messiah of the Jews. Not much later he changed his faith and became a Muslim, and many other Jews followed

his example. They were called the 'Donme' or 'Sabetians' and it would be they, who would play a prominent role in the rise of the 'Young Turks' movement of Kemal Ataturk.

In contrast with what most people believe, this movement did not start at the end of the 19th century, but much earlier, at the end of the 18th century soon after the French Revolution which 'inspired' these "Young Turks" (and we all know the role that the Zionists and their tool called Freemasonry played in that "French" Revolution...).

Sultan Mahmud II who was also inspired by the French Revolution intended to declare some changes in the Ottoman society and introduced a new constitution. But it would be (bizarrely enough) the Janissaries<sup>2</sup> that revolted in 1826 against the plans of the Sultan. This occurred because they became aware of their racial background, as they were not Turks, but brainwashed whites considered as "second class" Turks. Their awakened conscience led to a revolt.


Their revolt did not have the success they hoped and the Janissaries were crushed and from that moment disappeared from the

Ottoman forces. However, the changes that the Sultan pronounced also did not have the success he had hoped for. Among Turks there was a lot of inconvenience and it seemed that the "unity of the empire" could not hold for long.



It was at that time that the phenomenon of 'Pan-Turanism' or 'Pan-Turkism' was born. The foundations were in a "Unity and Prosperity" committee and in the Jewish 'Muslims,' the Donme, based in Thessaloniki (Greece). Soon they would have tens of thousands of followers. Sultan Abdul Hamit was strongly opposed to this new phenomenon and their founders and did everything in his power to fight them. But the "final blow" would be a visit

from Theodore Hertzl, the father of the Zionist movement, to the Sultan. The purpose of the Zionist visit was to seek options to create a Jewish state in Palestine. At the time Palestine was an Ottoman province which was 'holy ground' for the Islamic world, so Abdul Hamit (The most powerful Islamic leader), did not consider the creation of a Jewish state in Palestine as negotiable. His answer was negative and Hertzl had failed (for now...). But the time of change had just begun...



According to Sir Gerard Lowther: "the sect of Sabetai Levi (Zevi) or Crypto-Jews, who externally profess Islamism. Many of the former have in the past acquired Italian Nationality and are Freemasons affiliated to Italian Lodges."

In 1908 the Young Turks declared their revolution in Macedonia (Greece). The masterminds behind the revolt were the Donme and the "Unity and Prosperity" committee. One of the Donme was a young officer, named Kemal, who was born in the Jewish neighbourhood of Thessaloniki (Greece). Kemal would, later on, become the leader of the Young Turks movement and the father of the genocides against the Greek and Armenian populations of Asia Minor.

After the Young Turks captured the cities of Thessaloniki and Monastir (at the time these parts of Greece were still under Ottoman rule) they threatened the Sultan that they would march on to Constantinople. Abdul Hamit had no choice than to concede and accept the demands of the Young Turks. So the new constitution was cancelled. From this moment on it



## THE DONME: KABBALIST TURKISH JEWS

ATATURK would have had good reasons for concealing his Donme origins. Not only were the Donmes (who married only among themselves and numbered close to 15,000, largely concentrated in Salonika, on the eve of World War I) looked down on as heretics by both Muslims and Jews, they had a reputation for sexual profligacy that could hardly have been flattering to their offspring. This licence, which was theologically justified by the claim that it reflected the faithfuls' freedom from the biblical commandments under the new dispensation of (Jewish Messiah) Sabbatai Zevi, is described by Ezer Weizman's predecessor, Israel's second president, Yitzchak Ben-Zvi, in his book on lost Jewish communities, "The Exiled and the Redeemed":

*'Saintly Offspring'*

*"Once a year [during the Donmes' annual 'Sheep holiday'] the candles are put out in the course of a dinner which is attended by orgies and the ceremony of the exchange of wives. ... The rite is practiced on the night of Sabbatai Zevi's traditional birthday. ... It is believed that children born of such unions are regarded as saintly."*

would be Kemal and his Young Turks that would "settle the score" in the Ottoman Empire.

The various ethnic groups that lived between the borders of this huge empire thought that this meant a new dawn for them with more freedom. But they were fooled, because soon the opposite would be revealed. When the first Balkan war broke out in 1912, the Ottoman Empire lost all of her captured ground in Europe.

In 1914 the Ottomans chose the side of Germany and Austria-Hungary as an attempt to restore the empire. Within the war propaganda, the pan-Turkish ideal would rise. So in 1915 the ideological bible of the Young Turks was brought out: "Turkism and Pan-Turkism". The writer of this book was a man called Tekin Alp, a Donme whose real name was Cohen. According to this ideology, all Turkish peoples should be united in an empire that stretches from the Indian Ocean to the Adriatic!

In 1912 the Austrian Prince Emilius Fierstenberg made a visit to King George I of Greece and had this to say about the true roots of the Young Turks movement:

*"The way these people (Young Turks) treat Hellenism is inhuman. What kind of people are these, who now control the Empire in Constantinople? A bunch of Semitic men who chose to become Muslims! No one more then they did more harm to the Ottoman Empire."* (Taken from "Glory and Devidnes" by Prof. P.K. Enekidis/University of Vienna, from the secret archives of Berlin an Vienna, 1908-1918, page 229)

But what is the status between Turkey and Israel today? With the attempt of Turkey to become a member of the EU it's an interesting topic to expose.

The Turkish military operations against the Kurds in south east Turkey in the spring of 1996, would lead to the discovery of a secret military treaty between Turkey and Israel, which was signed on the 23 of February 1996.

The operation "Condor" against the Kurds started on the 5th of April 1996, when the Turkish army discovered the heart of the PKK near Diyarbakir in south east Turkey. This was nothing less than the largest secret military camp of the Kurds. This led to huge military action, which resulted in many dead on both sides.

The Turks were able to "discover" this camp with the help of foreign secret/intelligence services. One of them was the Mossad. It was their information that played the key role in the discovery. Israel had just developed and built a new satellite, the "Ofek" and had been using it at the time for two years. This satellite gave them the ability to accurately control the geographic region around Israel. Taking a look at the geographic situation of Kurdistan it's obvious that the Mossad took notice of the secret PKK army base. Of course the Israelis wanted something in return for their information. Israel demanded that she could use the airspace above Turkey so that the Israeli air force could make flights, which would gain military information about Iran, Syria and Iraq.

The exact context of this treaty is unknown, except for the two parties involved. But the thing that is sure is that the military proportions in the region have changed since then.

Israel gained complete control over an area that goes from the east Mediterranean, the

Caspian Sea, to the Persian Gulf. As regards Turkey, she gained complete control in the south east of Turkey and the regions around her.

Both countries suggest that this treaty has no political or military motivation, but everything points to the opposite. The frequent exchange of information, the common military agenda, programmes and co-ordination of each others' plans shows a true alliance.



**The Grand Lodge of Israel.** Jewish Freemasonry holds power in Israel, Turkey and America, states that have formed an alliance.

On the matter of the military air forces of both countries there is an especially strong cooperation. Two great examples of this are the bombings of Yasser Arafat's headquarters in Tunis and the nuclear power station in Iraq.

The treaty that Turkey and Israel signed was the result of a series of various military agreements, which made Turkey's position within the countries that are allied to Israel even stronger. But let's take a more specific look about what these agreements are.

An Israeli company took a contract from the Turkish government to modernise the F-4 combat aircrafts. The cost? \$600,000,000. The second contract signed secured the supply of highly technological weapons from Israel to Turkey.

Officially there are eight agreements signed between Turkey and Israel. The first one stated that Israeli instructors would train Turkish F-16 and Phantom pilots. The main goal of this was specialisation in modern electronic warfare. The training/education is held in Israel but the practise takes part in Turkey itself.

The second agreement related to the co-operation between Turkey and the Jewish lobby in Europe and the USA, this to be more able to secure the international interest of both countries.<sup>3</sup>

The construction of the oil pipeline Baku-Ceyhan is the object of the third agreement considering the fact that both countries have an interest in a non-problematic construction, because of the region's instability.

The fourth agreement foresees the exchange of political, diplomatic, military information - and electronic observation in Azerbaijan and central Asia. This is a very important agreement and demands high-level co-operation between the MIT (Turkish secret service) and the Mossad.

The fifth agreement foresees a close co-operation between the ground forces and navy of both countries. This agreement is in conformity with the agreement considering the air forces of both countries.

The sixth is quite complex in structure as Jordan is also involved. The F-16 pilots of the Jordan air force and Jordan ground forces will be trained in Turkey. At the same time Turkish military units will be trained in Jordan. In the future there are training operations planned for the 3 countries involved.

The seventh agreement pronounced co-operation on an industrial level with, of course, a military goal. Things such as the building of long-distance missiles, espionage development, new tank vehicles and, of course, the perfecting of electronic warfare and skills, are some of the topics of this agreement.

Agricultural co-operation between Turkey and Israel was planned in the last agreement. Israel hires land from Turkey while



Egyptian Ambassador to Israel, Mohammed Bassiouny, attacked the burgeoning Turkey-Israel strategic relationship. *"These military ties,"* he said, *"pour oil on the flames of friction in the region, especially in Syrian eyes."*

Israel delivers the technological knowledge.

This treaty was introduced by the neo-conservative Jewish lobby in the USA, led by Richard Pearl (at the time vice minister of the

Defence Department), to make their relations with Ankara even stronger. Pearl and his lobby considered (and still do) that the spearhead of foreign policies in the Middle East for the USA, could only be the triangle of USA-Israel-Turkey.

Turgut Ozal, President of Turkey at the time, was aware of the tight bond between the USA and Israel. Therefore he did want not to disturb this alliance; instead he sought to join it.

Something we must also observe is the fact that the context of this treaty is a result of the "Institute for Middle East Studies" in Washington. This Institute carried out a number of reports on Turkey and the role they could fulfil in the interest of the USA and Israel.

This Institute, (led by Alain Mackofski, someone with close contacts within the secret/intelligence services of the USA and the Department of Foreign Policies of Israel) is under control of the neo-conservative/Zionist lobby led by Bernard Lewis.

In one of the reports it has been stated that a strong co-operation between Turkey and Israel on a military level would be very positive for the economical/industrial interests of the USA in the Middle East, especially in a period of crisis.

Of course the Arab nations are very disturbed by these treaties. The Arab leaders have declared to Turkey that they are worried about this development and are disappointed in the fact that an Islamic country did not take any consideration of the Arab nations' interest; especially in a period when the Israeli aggression against Arabs has reached its highest level in years.

Turkey uses the excuse of "territorial enemies" as her motivation to sign the treaty with Israel. At the same time she makes much effort to gain influence and power within the former Soviet republics where lots of ethnic Turks live. With this treaty Turkey believes that she is "the master of the region". At the same time Israel is now in a very secure position and fully controls the region of the Middle East.

Don't forget that all this took place when Saddam was 'alive and kicking' and dangerous tensions with Iran were always present. So with



**Organised  
Turks are  
active all  
over the  
world,  
including  
America.**

this treaty Israel was able to use the military airbases in southeast Turkey whenever she would feel the need to do so.

It's obvious that the USA is the driving force behind the evil treaties. This way the USA intends to use her satellite states in central Asia and the Middle East fully to gain her goals.

But we must not forget that when the USA does not need her 'slaves' any more, she just leaves them to rot. Let's not forget what happened to the Shah of Iran or Pinochet of Chile.

Now that Saddam is gone and the oil in northern Iraq (dominated by Kurds) is secured and the control of the Caucasus region seems safe too, the question that rises is: How long will Turkey be useful to the USA? Turkey's days are numbered but the 'why' to this is another interesting story.


## WHO'S PULLING THE STRINGS?

**Islam:** created centuries ago by Jews to stop the spread of Christianity eastwards and so protect the Jewish homeland in and around Khazaria (read *Islam - The Hidden Truth* available from FC).

**Islam:** used by the Neo-Cons to pass outrageous social-control laws and infringe our ancient freedoms. Many commentators have said that militant Islam has been "helped" or "encouraged" by the kosher mafia (*Osama Bin Mossad* by Professor Sanfratello in Italian is a perfect example).

**Islam:** imported into the West by the political class, given rights by agitators and helped by "anti-discrimination" laws. A certain 'religious' grouping has pushed these appalling changes on the peoples of Europe who never asked for them.

**Islam:** has been used by the Masonic French State, enabling it to ban any vestige of Christianity from French classrooms — even French priests are forbidden from wearing traditional religious garments in state schools; all under the guise of controlling extreme Islamic clothing.



**Maybe — but who  
dominates it now?**



**Another big business tool of international Freemasonry.**

**Islam:** is the bogeyman — but the *real* threat comes from the people now labelled Neo-Cons who have, throughout history, sought to undermine the security, traditions, laws, economies and well-being of Christian peoples and lands.

The Judeo-Masonic force brought about the "French" Revolution, the Soviet Revolution, the Great Depression, red terrorism in Europe, and most recently the wars in Iraq.

### FOOTNOTES

<sup>1</sup> A carbon copy of how many Jews operated in Christian Spain - the whole reason for the Spanish Inquisition which wasn't held against Jews for being Jews; but rather against Jewish "converts" who claimed to be Christian (thus falling under the 'rules' of the Church) but continued with Talmudic, Kabbalistic and other profane non/anti-Christian and Satanic rituals. Whereas in Spain the society reacted against this subversion, in Turkey it became accepted and part of the ruling elite.

<sup>2</sup> An elite military class made up of captured and brainwashed Christian children slaves.

<sup>3</sup> Interestingly the calls for Turkey's membership of the EU has avoided the issue of whether Turkey is actually in Europe - a sticking point that might preclude another state joining for economic reasons - Israel for example.

# THE SERBIAN NATIONALIST MOVEMENT

## — Against Communism and Capitalism

By Legioner.

*"Serbs are the nation of the knights and heroes. If they are not knights -they are nothing. They can never transform themselves into Jews."*

Momcilo Selic, Serbian writer

When somebody mentions Serbia today, the first thought that comes to mind, is the NATO aggression in 1999. The strongest military alliance in history attacked this little country in the Balkans with only one aim - to destroy Serbian nationalism, by supporting Muslim Albanians in their anti-Serbian efforts.

In Serbian nationalism, NATO recognised its main enemy in the region; the enemy which must be destroyed before the creation of the foundations of the New World Order in Eastern Europe.

What is the history and development of that nationalism which was transformed into the greatest menace against the creation of multi-cultural and multi-ethnic societies in the region of South East Europe?

If we want to find the answer we should look for them in the development of Serbian nationalism in the proceeding period of more than seventy years.

### THE NATIONAL MOVEMENT ZBOR (RALLY)

Between the two world wars, Serbian nationalists created their movement Zbor. The leader of the movement and its main ideologist was Dimitrije Ljotic who promoted nationalist ideas, similar to those of Cornelius Codreanu in Romania.

During the Second World War, the members of the Zbor movement were part of the pro-German government of General Milan Nedic and represented the most elite of its military units.

It should be noted here that the cream of Serbian intelligentsia supported the ideas of Ljotic and his political activities through the Zbor movement and later in the government of General Milan Nedic. One of the most important members of Zbor was the famous Serbian writer Stanislav Krakov, a publicist and journalist, and director of Radio Belgrade. After the war he was exiled in Spain where he continued his work in Radio Madrid. Another famous intellectual was Vladimir Velmar Jankovic, a great Serbian writer, and member of the administration of General Nedic.

Zbor was supported by the great Serbian philosopher and theologian, Oxford graduated, Bishop Nikolay Velimirovich, who criticised both plutocracy and communism. He searched for an authentically Serbian approach and answer to the contemporary ideological menaces.

The ideology of Ljotic was the enemy of democracy, capitalism and communism; seeing in them products of the modern society and individualistic thought.

In 1942 he noted that:

*"Individualistic thought gave birth to democracy, capitalism, Marxism and Bolshevism, materialism and atheism. Capitalism and Marxism are brothers, deriving from the same, individualistic world-view... Neither individualism, nor capitalism, nor Marxism can solve social distress. Neither can democracy, which is merely political individualism. Capitalism is the economic system, democracy is its political weapon and its expression in politics. . ."*

He explained that he was fighting for a fundamentally different concept, in a way that will resonate today with patriots throughout Europe:

*"We are fighting, first of all, for a different concept of politics. Among the people, this word has gained the connotation of something false, filthy and sordid. For a long time now, the people have thought that politics is like a manure pile at the rear of a peasant courtyard, a*



Dimitrije Ljotic  
of the Serb  
Nationalist  
movement  
Zbor.

thing necessary, but neither clean nor dignified.

*"The first consequence of such belief has been that a vast number of honourable people decided that there is no place for them in politics, just as clean, well-dressed people make sure to keep away from manure.*

*"The second consequence has been that those honourable people who nevertheless were in politics were swamped and overrun by those whose actions had given rise to this belief among the people.*

*"And the third and worst consequence has been the slow but steady erosion of national moral standards. The nation has been losing faith and its old moral values. Honesty and personal honour have begun to look like obstacles to advancement in life . . ."*

Ljotic wanted to stop the moral decline of the Serbian nation and to restore to honour the former high national principles. Because of that he believed that it was necessary to put an end to the belief that politics is dirty, to put an end to the conviction that politics is personal advantage and corruption. Instead Ljotic's struggle was to create a new belief, and the belief would be that politics is a toilsome struggle and an honourable service in which no one may seek personal advantage, much less enrichment.

We want to stop the continued moral decline of the nation. We want to restore to honour our former high national principles. Even today at the beginning of the XXI century his words and his struggle are still more than apposite in a world full of corruption, where politics has lost any relation with morality and high virtues.

Ljotic didn't stop at the question of the nature of the politicians. He went further, describing the human qualities and virtues of the leaders of the nation. According to Ljotic power must be dependant on such personal accountability, that all weaklings and cowards, all scoundrels and egoists will flee to the rear, as once they fled from the war front. That is where they still belong!

Ljotic's struggle was against the *"partisanisation"* of the society, which often results in the partition of national unity and the destruction of the national being.

According to him it had come to pass that nothing in the country could be accomplished without the recommendation and intercession of a political party. No-one could get his rights according to law, but only at the recommendation of someone influential. Obligations are avoided and responsibilities are cancelled, not according to the law but at the intercession of someone influential... All this means that we have no lawful and permanent state and national policy, but that the state is run by party, faction and clique politics.

Ljotic concluded, *"We fight therefore for a national, popular politics, and against the politics of parties, cliques and factions".*

He fought for the total unity of the different elements of public life including political, economical and social life.

In this regard, the Zbor ideology promoted the principle that politics must not be separated from national social and economic life:



A 1941 Serbian stamp showing Serb nationalism banishing shadowy Judeo-Freemasonry.

*"At present, it is so separated. Today, it is thought that there exists some pure politics unconnected with the social and economic question. Today, people who have no contact with national needs, who have no understanding of real social and economic national problems, rise through elections to the highest offices. We fight for a political system in which this would become impossible"*

In its pre-war political existence Zbor saw the most important enemies of national unity in the Marxist doctrine and in the existing capitalist system.

Dimitrije Ljotic was the first Serbian politician who wrote about Josip Broz Tito- the future Yugoslav communist leader, even in 1934 when Tito was still an anonymous agent of the Communist

International.

He described the future Secretary General of the Communist Party of Yugoslavia as a man with the task to destroy state, nation, family and any other positive value in society.

But the main attack upon Zbor didn't come from the communists or internationalists, but from the pseudo-nationalist, capitalist Yugoslav government led by Milan Stojadinovic. The Yugoslav democratic plutocrats outlawed Zbor, presented it to the people as an anti-national force, a kind of official enemy of the Yugoslav state. In fact they *were* enemies, but not of the state or the people but of international capitalism and its local representatives.

### SERBIAN VOLUNTEER CORPS

In 1941, Serbia was represented on the battlefield by different ideological fractions. The Serb people were suffering from communist led partisans, but also from the ideological struggle between the communists and pro-western Chetnik movement, led by General Draza Mihajlovic.

All these forces were fighting against the Germans following the political agenda of their ideological centres in Moscow or London.

Dimitrije Ljotic realized that the only solution to save the country and its people was to create military units of Serbian nationalists, who would fight against different ideological factions for the survival of the Serbian nation.

These units were called the Volunteers (Dobrovoljci in Serbian) and were the elite units of the pro-German Serbian government of General Nedic.

Dimitrije Ljotic and his Zbor movement activists created the units on 15 September 1941, comprised of twelve 120-150 strong detachments. In January 1943, they were transformed into the Serbian Volunteer Corps (Srpski Dobrovoljaci Korpus - SDK) with five 500 man battalions - four volunteer battalions and one Chetnik Assault battalion, and from the 4th of January 1943 it also had an armoured car battalion, a cavalry squadron and 6 aircraft - with a full strength of around 3,000 men. The formation was fully equipped by the Germans who were impressed by its performance.

It is important to notice here that the ideological nature of Zbor movement had its reflection in the activities of the SDK. At the beginning the activities of the SDK were directed against the communist guerrillas in Serbia. After the defeat of the communist partisan forces in November 1941 there were no major clashes with communists on Serbian territory until their offensive in 1944.

However the end of this struggle was a clear sign for another ideological faction in Serbia to start its own promotion through a military confrontation with the SDK.

This was the so-called Chetnik movement or Yugoslav Army in the Fatherland led by Yugoslav colonel Dragoljub Mihailovic. It was in fact the military faction of the pre-war reactionary, capitalist and national-chauvinist forces. Through the years 1942, 1943 and 1944 there were numerous battles between the forces loyal to capitalism and the third positionist ideology, realised in the military units of the SDK.

The final episode of the struggle between the western plutocracy and the local servants of international capitalism and Zionism occurred in 1944 when Anglo-American air forces attacked the Serbian capital Belgrade, the second largest city Nish and other great cities in Serbia and Monte Negro.

The bestial bombardment of the Serbian and Montenegrin cities and villages started in October 1943 and ended in September 1944 just before the entrance of the Soviet forces on Yugoslav territory, helped by the local partisan units, the same ones that were defeated in 1941 by the Serb Voluntary Corp.

The Anglo-American bombardment was of crucial importance for the advancing Soviet forces and their Titoist allies on Yugoslav soil.

The Anglo-American plutocracy decided to "sell" their old ally the Chetnik leader Mihailovic to the communist leader Tito. They proved again that there is no sense of moral integrity in their political moves. In fact it was yet more proof of the words and visions of Dimitrije Ljotic who predicted that there is no strategic, nor any other reason, for Serbs to believe that it is in the interest of the Western democracies to have a strong Serbia and a stable Balkan Peninsula.

Unfortunately the Mihailovic Chetniks believed until the end of the war in their pro-British illusions. Although many of the people killed in the terrible bombardments of Belgrade were Anglophiles, most of the Chetniks that were handed from the British and Americans to the Tito partisans were denounced or sent to concentration camps across Yugoslavia, especially on the islands of the Adriatic Sea.

Unfortunately even today after another aggression in the name of democracy and in the name of humanity, there are still many Serbs who believe that the sun rises on the west, that democracy is the perfect political system and liberal capitalism the source of eternal happiness, a kind of paradise on Earth.

### THE HERITAGE OF NATIONALISM

In the nineties, Serbia represented the island of freedom in an occupied Europe. Although a member of the ruling Union of the Communists, Serbian leader Slobodan Milosevic provoked a nationalist revolt of the Serbs in Kosovo and Bosnia, which was the beginning of the Serbian national revival of the nineties.

Another important leader Radovan Karadzic manifested an original national revolution in the hills of Bosnia and Herzegovina. His Serbian state wasn't an ordinary political manifestation of the actual political aspirations of the ruling social structure or political party; it was a search for the metaphysical fundamentals of the Serbian folk, for its Aryan culture, ethos and original tradition.

While young people in Europe were indoctrinated with the spontaneous Africanisation, or at least Americanisation, of their culture, people in the Bosnian Serb Republic were motivated to turn to the original Serbian folk songs, including the epic heroic poems Gusle, a kind of Serbian version of Homer's Illiad and Odyssey.

In Serbia itself, nationalism - including racial elements - represented an important manifestation of public life. The idea of Aryan mythology was represented in the works of the most important of the Serbian writers.

The world known author Milos Crnjanski wrote about the Aryan mythology in his famous novel "The Hyperboreans". His work found its continuation in the books and novels of the contemporary 'anti-mondialist' author Dragos Kalajic.

Another important writer is Momcilo Selic who wrote openly in his novels about the Jewish role in post-war Yugoslav communist society and in contemporary Serbia. Their works as well as the struggle in the hills of Bosnia and the plains of Kosovo were the inspiration for thousands of young people to create and join nationalist organisations across the country in their struggle against the New World Order.

Today the main representatives of the nationalist and racial spirit among the younger generations of the Serbs are Blood and Honour and the organisation of Racial Nationalists (Racionalists- Rasonalisti in Serbian) which develops its original racial-nationalist ideology in the Serbian soil.

Through their magazines, books, public events, concerts and other activities they are well known in the country and abroad. An important field of activities is through the nationalist musical scene. Some of the music groups which are promoting racial values across Serbia are: The Triumph of the Will (Trijumf Volje in Serbian), Razor 88, Battle Flag, Real Aggression and many others.

Another manifestation of Serbian racial nationalism is through the internet. Here it is important to mention the site of Serbian Racial Nationalists ([www.rasonalisti.tk](http://rasonalisti.tk), <http://rasonalisti.da.ru/>) as well as the Stormfront Serbia Forum ([www.stromfront.org](http://www.stromfront.org)), which represents first Serbian internet forum on the international level.

These activities are the sign that healthy patriotic forces are still alive in Serbia despite the efforts of the so-called international community, led by its military wing - NATO.



# BOOK REVIEW: THE RADICAL RIGHT

by ALAN SYKES.

This book promised much, written by what seemed to be a dispassionate and objective academic. Would the book deliver? Seeking to cover the "radical right" from Edwardian times up to the 21st Century and at 184 pages including notes etc., this was never going to be an in-depth study. But the breadth of the study and the manner of its undertaking does set it apart from most books on "the extreme right" or "neo-nazis," most of which tend to be either openly hostile or condemnatory in outlook.

The early part of the book is very interesting, and a good early sign is that Sykes acknowledges that the Masonic forces created the whole "left - right" dichotomy in post-revolution France. So almost from the off - despite the title of the book, which is designed to appeal to those who see revolutionary nationalism as the "radical right," especially in America - the author acknowledges that even in his descriptions he is having to conform to the Masonic political system which has created the 'left wing' and the 'right wing.'

In the early "pre-fascist" chapters Sykes looks at people who were, to simplify the ideologies, what might be labelled Imperial Socialists and Social Conservatives. Indeed, one of the earliest interesting parts of the book is when Sykes says British politics moved away from "Left" being anti-State and "Right" being defence-of-the-State ideologies. We have seen this in more recent years with the 'left' Labour Party passing ever more intrusive 'police state' laws and the "ex" far-left Jewish 'neo-cons' in Washington DC using Republicanism to further their Federal and pro-Israel agenda.

In the years before Mosley's New Party (itself a result of a Socialist becoming a Conservative and later an understanding that the 'old gang' were all hopelessly corrupt) which evolved into the British Union of Fascists, there were two other political parties which Sykes believes can be labelled 'radical right,' or at least pre-date (or pre-empt) the 'radical right' BUF.



The first of these was the British Workers League/National Democratic and Labour Party (NDP), which came from the Trade Union and Labour movement, typified by the circles around the patriotic and popular Socialist, Robert Blatchford. As the historian Roy Douglas stated in his work 'The National Democratic Party and the British Workers League':

*"The term "National Socialist", if it had not acquired a special meaning in Germany, would be a fair summary."*

Another group, which fed into the NDP and patriotic socialist camp, were the Guild socialists, typical of whom was Arthur Penty.<sup>1</sup> Penty also supplies a link from the patriotic socialists through the Distributists, to the fascists, as he was later an ideologue with Mosley's British Union of Fascists, again linking the "socialist" idea of the Guilds - a central part of the Church's social teaching since medieval times - and the corporatism of Mosley's group and fascists in Italy, which in turn was closely related to the Syndicalism of the nationalists in Franco's Spain.

The other proto-'fascist' party in Britain was the National Party (NP), which evolved and eventually went back into the right-wing of the Tory Party and was mostly comprised of rich landowners. Something that will surprise many FC readers is the fact that both the NDP and the NP had MPs in parliament (a fact that system politicians and historians rarely mention).

A point of interest here is how the NDP and the NP viewed strikes and growing workers' militancy (to be repeated in 1970s nationalist factionalism). The NDP tended to be sympathetic and



saw the workers as patriots, fighting big business for the rights of the British worker. The NP, meanwhile, saw the strikes as organised especially by Jewish Bolsheviks (strikes in Glasgow in 1919 had 'Jewish agitators' leading them as far as the NP was concerned); thus the class backgrounds of the NDP and the NP showed in their policies towards the "workers' struggle."

On the issue of Judaism, whilst both sides of the 'patriotic' movement saw the hand of alien interests in attacking the nation and/or the working-man, Sykes says it was specifically (the Distributist) ideologues such as GK Chesterton and Hilaire Belloc who railed against the Jews in *The Eye Witness*.

Sykes says:

*"The international and financial connections of the Jews merged in a common conspiracy thesis with strong anti-capitalist, or anti-finance-capitalist, overtones. Capital, like the Jews, was international, and the only protection for labour was nationalism."*

Sykes also says that Belloc was one of the first, falling within the 'radical right' as he sees it, to elucidate the idea that the political parties are all detrimental to the nation - an idea that modern radical patriots can fully understand.

Sykes tells us that Belloc's suspicion of 'the plutocrats' pre-dated his entering parliament as a Liberal MP (the Liberals in those days were the radical "opposite" to the land-owning upper class Tories). However, Belloc soon came to see that the political system was itself wrong, and that a form of collusion took place between the front benches, with many on either side linked by family and business ties.

Sykes states that Belloc saw that *"they were both indebted to, and in the power of, a small group of the very rich, the plutocracy, which subscribed to party funds."*

Belloc laid into this form of corruption in 'The Party System' a book he co-wrote with Cecil Chesterton, the brother of GK Chesterton.<sup>2</sup>

Again, the idea that all the parties answer to the same vested interests is something that has not changed with time. The "political soldier" National Front in particular pushed this idea to nationalists in the 1980s with its campaign against the political parties in the 1987 general election.

Sykes also looks at the early fascist groups such as the British Fascisti (BF) and the Imperial Fascist League (IFL).<sup>3</sup> Naturally these groups will be compared and contrasted to their "namesake," the British Union of Fascists (BUF).

Sykes informs us that the BF is akin to the WWI-era NP inasmuch that it tended to attract the landowning upper classes, and the IFL was in essence closer to Germany's NSDAP than it was to the BUF, given the IFL's preoccupation with Jewry. Naturally there were cross-over memberships and wandering affinities over the years between the BF, IFL and BUF - not to mention groups like the Britons who published books dealing with the "Jewish question."

Of course in a book like this you would expect the BUF to come under the microscope. As this is a movement that has (with its leader) been the subject of many lengthy tomes it would be amiss to think that Sykes could come up against specialist books, but that's not his remit.

What we do find is that the BUF, whilst clearly being the largest and strongest fascist group, was also very weak in many regards. Its actual membership in many cities and towns was very small, its strength (of course) being in London's East End.



R. Hargreaves

For me, one of the joys of Syke's foray into 1930s Britain is his study of the interaction and friction between the Fascists, the Distributists and the Social Creditors.<sup>4</sup> Thus he comes to the Kibbo Kift, a kind of ruralist radical patriot Anglo-Saxon boy scouts movement and the Greenshirts of Hargreave's Social Creditors (interestingly initially called the Iron Guard - can the copied name and uniform be anything less than a nod in the direction of Codreanu's Romanian Iron Guard?) who sometimes fought the communists and the fascists.

Sykes' look at postwar Britain revolves around Mosley's Union Movement, being the only fascist group to emerge from the trials and tribulations of WW2 in any kind of shape - albeit by way of the League of Ex-Servicemen and Women - to form a 'mass movement.'

As the Union Movement waned so the League of Empire Loyalists waxed in the 1960s, led by Mosley's ex-lieutenant A. K. Chesterton whom, Sykes acknowledges, manages to bring traditional patriotic values (Empire, race etc.) together with the radical agenda of being "anti-capitalist and anti-American" which Sykes says is more in the tradition of "Arnold Leese and the IFL." Moreover the LEL "served as a training ground for the next generation of the Radical Right."

The 1960s was a busy time, seeing the formation also of the original British National Party (made up of the White Defence League of Colin Jordan and the National Labour Party of John Bean), the original National Socialist Movement of Jordan and John Tyndall (which took part in the World Union of National Socialists in conjunction with George Lincoln Rockwell), the Greater Britain Movement of Tyndall and Martin Webster. Yet the decade culminated in the formation of the National Front, which was to bring most of the various factions together - which, in essence, led ultimately to its demise...

And so the 1970s saw the National Front as the main nationalist movement, brought about, Sykes informs us, in part by the LEL and the BNP being assured that the "neo-nazis" (i.e. Jordan, Tyndall and Webster) would be barred from membership.

Just as it would be true to say that the 1970s was the decade of the National Front, so it would also be true to say that it was the decade of factionalism within the National Front, which in turn would reverberate into the 1980s and beyond. To gain a better understanding of the factionalism I can't say better than to read the book. Aside from the personalities and rivalries involved one key element (which helped give rise to the National Party split in 1977) was that of attitudes towards strikes and industrial disputes.

Whilst Tyndall and those who retained the NF post 1977 called for a "crack down" on the strikers, the (so-called) 'Strasserites' of the National Party wanted to be seen as more worker-friendly - this in turn harked back to the difference between the "leftist" WW1-era National Democratic Party and the "landowning" National Party.

It's fair to say that few people in nationalism today would call for strike-breaking and violence to be used (perhaps a result of Thatcher's terrible assaults on the miners and the resultant destruction of an indigenous industry via cheap imports, naked capitalism and police violence), with such views being entertained by those on the right of the Tory Party and possibly within groups such as UKIP (though I may be doing UKIP a disservice there).

It's also true to say that whilst the National Front picked up right-wing support with anti-strike rhetoric (at a time when many in the middle classes thought the country was being 'brought to its knees' by industrial militants), on top of its anti-immigration platform, when Margaret Thatcher played the "right wing" cards of fighting the unions and understanding the fear of being 'swamped' in 1979, many NF low-level supporters saw her as their best chance of achieving

their own aims via a mainstream politician. It will be interesting to see if the current Tory leader's attempts to make immigration a central part of the 2005 general election will have a similar effect on the BNP, or if (as when the French parties did the same in respect to immigration in the shadow of a Front National threat) it will backfire and give the BNP credence and increased support.

One interesting aspect of the 1970s which Sykes picks up on is the gains that the National Front made in local elections (not to mention two National Party councillors elected in Blackburn) - compared to the lack of growth in general elections.

This is something that, in hindsight, can be seen in great clarity and is something that is also true of elections today, even after the advances made "post Burnley" (see book review of 'How It Was Done' by Steven Smith elsewhere in this issue). Will the "modernised BNP" learn the lessons of the 1970s and treat general elections any differently, or will they be the ones to make any headway out of them? I know what I think, but to err on the side of caution, one might say this is a moot point.

Another aspect of the 70s that's being played out again today is the battle between "populists" and "neo-nazis." Of course this kind of language is highly suggestive, emotive and some might add offensive and off-target, but the accusations being levelled (by each other) at the 'factions' led by Nick Griffin and John Tyndall are virtually the same on this issue as were bandied before and during 1977.

Indeed it was slightly earlier, in 1974, that Sykes picks up on John Tyndall's then ally, Martin Webster (now opposed to and by both Tyndall and Griffin ostensibly on account of Webster's homosexuality) who was, back then, relatively recently out of an outright National Socialist party, said that election victories for the "populists", whom he labelled as "policy-trimmers" (as Colin Jordan today describes the Griffin-led BNP) would be disastrous for the NF because it would take away the NF's unique nature which kept them separate from the "Old Gang parties."

If the 1977 'split' has one lesson for today's Griffin/Tyndall fight it's that Tyndall kept control of the 1970s NF by controlling the party's national headquarters and so the contact with the "confused" branches. One might be tempted to think that as Griffin has retained control

of the BNP's official paper (edited by 1980s "Flag" NF leader Martin Wingfield), official magazine (edited by original BNP leader John Bean) and official website (until recently controlled by ex-National Democrats high-flier Simon Darby), combined with the authoritarian leadership structure brought into the BNP on its foundation in 1982 (more on that in the next issue), that Tyndall's chances are greatly reduced - even though Tyndall retains the control of his influential magazine Spearhead and claims to have many followers even at branch organiser level.

As well as picking up on the lack of sympathy for the NF found in the Trade Unions movement (which he puts down to Tyndall's aforementioned calls for harsh police measures against strikers and pickets) Sykes also says that the loyalist Ulster Defence Association (UDA) dismissed the NF, with the latter's late-70s leader Andy Tyrie dismissing the Tyndall-led NF as "a neo-nazi movement."

*Next issue: The 1980s and Beyond*

**Footnotes:**

1. Socialism in this sense should be understood as an alternative to Capitalism, not centralised government control and socialism as we view it today. Penty's works, *The Guild Alternative* and *The Gauntlet* are available from FC.
2. *The Party System* is available from FC.
3. For comparisons and studies into the IFL and the BUF see 'Fascism in England 1928 - 1940' available from FC.
4. For a superb essay on the reality of the interaction between Fascism and Distributism, and the attempts of modern liberal writers and historians to create a division between the two that was never there to the extent they now wish, see the Introduction published in 'Distributist Perspectives Volume One' available from FC.

***The Radical Right in Britain*** by Alan Sykes is available to order from Final Conflict. For your copy send £16.99 plus postage (10% UK, 15% Europe, 20% RoW), to: Final Conflict, BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX. Cheques to "Final Conflict."

# THE GREAT UNWASHED

PEERING AT THE SLIME UNDER SEVERAL STONES...

## ANGELIC POPSTARS

A series of brand-new Angelic Upstarts' CDs went up for sale on e-bay recently. OK, so they're vomit-inducing reds that are free to sell their moribund flea-bitten Marxist bile whilst items by Skrewdriver tend to get "banned" ... that's the price of being a real alternative to the system eh?

What was so weird about the CDs in question was that each one was signed by the Upstarts' vocalist (and Marx's live-in-lover) Mensi.

Those of you who've heard the Bully Boys' tongue-in-cheek song 'Skinhead Superstar' will know what we're getting at here.

It's not so much the Angelic Upstarts, as the Ageing Popstars!

## WHITE FLIGHT TO ETHICS?

Picture the scene: it's the Searchlight editorial meeting. Yarmulkes abound. There's not a pork pie in sight. A large portrait of Gerry Gable's "hero" Harry Bidney, pimp and paedophile, looks down on the proceedings.

"Oy vey, look at the hatwed in thith book lith" says Mr. Gable in his charming ethnic way.

"Yes Mr. Gable sir" opines Fat Matthew Collins looking up from his expenses form and taking his arm from around an old Asian lady he's been cuddling (just to expunge the ghosts of the past you understand), "they've even got a fascist book on Ethics and the National Economy."

"Ethieth eh?" spits the aforementioned publisher and raconteur continuing in his delightful ethnic stylee, "that'sh wowwyng."

"Why so oh light of my life?" says Sonia Gable as she goesesteps into the room.

"Ethieth? That'sh where I live! Oy!"

## BIG BROTHER'S BEST PAL

There's an amusing editorial in the October 2004 edition of *Searchlies* in which the magazine run by extreme, mostly Jewish, Communists (see past FCs) brays that not only should the government ban all "fascists" from holding "certain jobs" (train drivers, firemen and such have faced Searchlight's ire - clearly high level employment which Searchlight's chums in the security services should be watching!) but that the WW2 ban to that effect should never have been rescinded.

Oh dear. *The mother all of knee-jerk reactions!*

Should we remind the Communists at Searchlight that the State is *supposed* to be an enemy of such class warriors?

Not only that, but if the Capitalist establishment is out for banning its political opponents from "certain jobs" then surely Communists, such as the fine fellows at Searchlies and their anarcho-Marxist 'fellow travellers' should top the list?

Remember the Soviet invasion of Poland and the red argument that workers should ignore WW2 as a "capitalist war"? Funnily enough whilst the bankers' pawn, sorry, the UK government declared war on Germany for invading Poland, no such declaration of war against the genocide-prone Soviet Union was forthcoming. Conspiracy anyone?

Or are we forgetting the Cold War? Or IRA bombs? Or the many other background events that might see the government targeting Communists - instead of working with them, or even having "ex" reds - Healy, Mandelson, Hain etc. - in the government itself (imagine the uproar if an "ex-NF" activist/candidate were in a Tory government?).

We at FC aren't so enamoured of the system or the government to appeal to them to pass more repressive laws against political opponents, knowing full well that fellow nationalists (of whatever

## NO SYMPATHY FOR THE DEVIL

### A Final Conflict "Great Unwashed" Special Report

KERRY BOLTON is a name that is appearing in nationalist circles in Europe. This New Zealander has published a lot of material, and his most recent work "Thinkers of the Right" is being pushed in certain circles, having been imported into the country by one Keith Thompson whom many nationalists have *alleged* has worked for Searchlight. The book features such *well known* "thinkers of the right" as D.H. Lawrence, Yeats, Mishima (a homosexual), etc.

"So What?" you may ask. OK, so the writers may be a little obscure or their nationalist credentials may be questionable by degree - *but who cares?*

Well, Mr. Bolton featured quite heavily in our book "*Satanism and its Allies - the Nationalist Movement Under Attack*," published back in 1998. Bolton was a key figure who tried to link his out-and-out Satanist outfit in NZ with pagans and nationalists.

Anyone who doubts that Bolton is indeed a Satanist, should carefully look at the picture below. Here at an "*Order of the Left Hand Path*"\* ceremony, Kerry Bolton is the hooded figure on the left. Look out for the inverted Cross on the wall, and the figure of Satan as Baphomet (Goat-headed devil) just below it. Notice how the child to the right, who's holding the skull, has a robe on featuring the head of Satan as a goat (again, the devil Baphomet).

According to Church of Satan priest Gavin Baddeley, Bolton considers himself a "confirmed family man" — *how quaint*.

Those who are selling, distributing and promoting Bolton's works (possibly out of ignorance of what the man is), including the book outlets of *League of St George* and *Spearhead*, should carefully consider the



consequences of making Bolton seem in any form of "nationalist tradition" let alone an intellectual able to dispassionately report on nationalist history.

The worst-case scenario is that Bolton is an evil bastard out to corrupt the wholesome nature and heritage of nationalism; and even the best-case scenario is that he will make nationalists look like cranks and ne'er-do-wells should his penchant for Satanic rituals and goat-headed demons become publicly associated with nationalism.

After we published *Satanism and its Allies*, the likes of Bolton and his coterie of Satanic chums went quiet in nationalist circles - being exposed for the filth they are.

It now appears that Bolton's perverse crusade to re-align nationalism is being repackaged to make the Black Magician somehow acceptable.

This is something that FC will *not* stand idly by and watch. We urge all FC readers and supporters to make sure that Bolton's works are made unacceptable at all nationalist gatherings, and within all nationalist publications and mail order lists.

If we want to avoid being tarred with the same brush as the kind of twisted sicko in the picture above then we as nationalists must take action - and if that means persuading those distributing Bolton's books, *then so be it*.

In the meantime any further information on Bolton, his distributors or related matters would, as always, be gratefully received (anonymously if needs be).

\* Left Hand Path is code for Satanic witchcraft, black magic etc.  
- Right Hand Path meaning benevolent or "white" magic/witchcraft.



hue) would be targeted eventually.

Seems that the leader-writer at Searchlight doesn't share such qualms. Perhaps someone should tell the reds?

#### QUEER GOINGS ON AT SEARCHLIES

Always ready to twist events and facts to their own ends, those loveable rogues (OK, a bit strong that, make it "those state-linked, pro-Zionist, extreme Communists") over at Searchlight surpassed even their own low standards with their December 2004 issue.

The front cover, editorial and first main article was all about the death of a homosexual in London. Yes, yes - dry your tears!

The funniest thing about Searchlight's coverage wasn't that this was yet another repeat performance of their oft acted-out role of calling on the State for ever more laws to deny ancient freedoms (following in the footsteps of so many homosexual and communist groups throughout the world), but their total and wilful ignorance, in print, of the facts surrounding the case.

Yes, the defenders of multi-racism hid the fact that the gang responsible for the death of the "nail bomb survivor" (poofah David Morley - known as 'Cinders', yep, is the sick bucket full already?) was multi-racial.

Even amongst those youths who have fallen prey to the lie of "mixing it," 'tolerance' doesn't exist! Should we be surprised? Not really - tolerance is a fiction. Searchlight don't tolerate anyone, even on "the left," who questions the preponderance of a certain racial-religious group in the world of finance, in 'Western' governments, in Hollywood etc.

The difference is that whereas we are open about what we fight for and what we fight against, the Communist front-group that is Searchlight like to couch their twisted and Marxian objectives in terms of "tolerance and democracy" - just as Blair and Bush talk of "freedom and democracy" when invading a nation-state at the behest of Israel and a Neo-con cabal in Washington DC.

So Searchlight - in their editorial and feature - neglect to mention that the gang was of mixed racial origins. Why? Surely in a magazine dedicated to fighting "racism" such a fact should be pivotal? But then as we've seen over the years, akin to Blair's fictional WMDs in Iraq, Searchlight have never allowed facts to stand in their way when it comes to pushing their agenda, and seeking stronger laws out of the State.

One of the policemen involved in this case has even admitted that they don't know if the attacks were simple robberies (and Searchlight don't give us the statistics of non-homosexual Whites attacked by coloureds or mixed-race gangs for obvious reasons), or if the attacks were "homophobic" - questionable as one of the attacks that evening wasn't on a homosexual.

However, that hasn't stopped Searchlight boring everyone about the need for more "anti-hate" laws (if Mr. Blair will oblige...) and it hasn't stopped the police "treating the attacks as homophobic hate crimes."

Perish the thought that any attacks in London by black or mixed-race gangs on Whites that aren't (to quote Comedian Peter Cook) 'pooves' should get such heavy coverage from Searchlight or indeed from the police - and it doesn't seem plausible that either agency would give overt coverage to anti-White crimes as racially motivated or use them to agitate for laws to protect White people from anti-White violence and "hate."

One might be forgiven for thinking that whilst this attack has been covered simply because the victim was a homosexual - normal White victims simply don't count to Searchlight and the police. Perhaps that tells us something about the people

for whom both agencies ultimately work - the government.

That's probably why the government spend so much time - in between invading Iraq - pushing through laws to repeal Section 28, lower the faggot age of consent, and finally try and make it legal for poofahs to "marry" - when there is so much wrong with this society that should take up such valuable parliamentary time.

So R.I.P. "Cinders" - be thankful in whichever circle of Hell you now reside; your perverted "life style" (sic) and your penchant for buggering has got your name at the front of a political campaign by state agencies. Otherwise you'd be just another forgotten White victim of a multi-racial experiment that's failed.

#### MUNCHIES R US

There was an interesting piece in the London *Evening Standard* recently on the re-classification of Cannabis, which means that many people now think that it's permissible to "smoke pot" openly. Certainly in my meanderings in the capital I've smelt many elongated cigarettes with a distinctly equatorial aroma being smoked openly.

Whatever the powers-that-be might claim - the message received, *or perceived*, is that Cannabis is accepted and you won't get arrested for possession anymore. Like, wow.

But (without trying to get all heavy, man) back to the article.

One of the chaps (of Jamaican descent) who oversaw this delightful downgrading of the drug is none other than former race-hussar (and "ex" raving-lefty) Lee Jasper, advisor to the Mayor on policing. Yes, Mr. Jasper campaigned that Cannabis was so widely used that its re-classification would clear up more time for the police.\*

So who's this caught in possession of Cannabis on the streets of Lambeth recently? Remi Jasper - the race/drug supremo's son!

In light of this fact - that his son is a Cannabis user (the police decided that it was only for his personal use - isn't it great what having a dad who's a friend of the Mayor can do?), we should re-visit all the arguments Mr. Jasper advanced for what is seen by many pot-heads (never the most shrewd or comprehending of fellows) as its decriminalisation.

In fact, the anti-White agitation supremo should be sacked (wing of pig anyone?) because when arguing in favour of letting people - like his son - smoke "weed" with little or no chance of a charge or a fine, he failed to state that he had a clear conflict of interest.

You see when a councillor has to vote on building a new by-pass, if he has property that will be affected and so stands to profit, he has to declare a "conflict of interest" and stand aside from the debate and any vote.

Isn't it time that the pot-heads stopped voting themselves (er, sorry - I meant their sons) freedom from arrest? Whatever next? Homosexuals voting to lower the age of consent?;-)

It's a mad world. No wonder so many politicians, their advisors and their sons are high on Bob Marley's favourite medicinal most evenings! You'd have to be out of your mind to vote through most of their amoral laws.

\* We can only assume this follows on from the fantastic idea to stop routinely investigating burglaries, which has also helped "clear up police time."

#### ENGLISH, ER BRITISH NATIONALISM

Mark Cotterill (see recent FCs) has been up to his usual tricks. The all-new English Nationalist whose group declared that British Nationalism was dead advertised a "St George's Day social" for his handful of bedraggled followers.

Almost overnight (perhaps to con more people into attending) The Spearhead Support Group (SSG) changed the advert in its e-mail bulletin to read that the social was no longer that of Cotterill's private "English nationalist" party, but of the British unionist SSG itself!

Cotterill obviously sees no contradiction in changing the event from a "British nationalism is dead" soiree to a "hurrah for British Nationalism" shindig to support John Tyndall - always a full-blooded unionist and British nationalist, who has condemned English nationalists as "Little Englanders."

Moreover, the SSG now seems to have allowed Cotterill onboard as a full activist - a change from banning him just a few short months ago, after Cotterill made up at least one letter about the SSG organiser in his personal journal (yes, he made-up one about an FC activist too - see last FC for details).

So what are we to make of all this? Is Cotterill so desperate for more dupes that he's ditched his short-lived English separatism to return to his British unionist fold? Or was his entire "EFP" just a con job as many suspected, as he still promoted British nationalism in his journal, especially via the scribblings of Searchlight stooge Peter Rushton?


So what does John Tyndall think of Cotterill - the new member of his SSG? On Saturday, the 19th of April 2003, Tyndall wrote to South West patriot Tim Vaux (who had caught Cotterill searching his files):

*"My instant impression of Cotterill was unfavourable. All the 'vibes' he gave off were negative, and in addition to this I thought I perceived distinct Asiatic traits in his physical features, possibly Chinese...."*

*"After the NF had split and the BNP was formed, I found -- rather to my consternation -- that Cotterill had followed me into the BNP. That was when I should have vetoed his membership at the outset, but I neglected to do so..."*

*"The real reckoning came in, I think, 1982 -- or at least thereabouts. I received a report of the trouble and arrests at Dixmuide that you mention. I heard also that Cotterill was among those arrested. The information I received was that the allegedly sympathetic Belgian cop had tipped off one of our people that among the personal effects in the possession of Cotterill that had been impounded during the time of his arrest was a membership card for the Socialist Workers' Party..."*

*"Everything that I have subsequently heard about Cotterill has convinced me that he is an agent for the authorities, possibly Special Branch,*



**NA: The Latest Con Job**  
Please drive carefully  
Exposed as state assets and/or expelled by: The BNP, the NF, England First, B&H, WNP, John Tyndall, Nick Griffin, Tim Vaux, NW BNP Region, Highlander (Scottish B&H)...

Mark Cotterill (left) and Peter Rushton (right).

Cotterill has been exposed by John Tyndall and others as a *probable* State operative. Rushton has been exposed by organisations as disparate as the BNP and Blood & Honour as a *definite* State operative.

possibly MI5, possibly both. I believe that he has been recruited in the same way as certain other people have been recruited by the same bodies and who are now to be found in the hierarchy of the current BNP: evidence of some kind of criminality that would enable the powers that be to put him away for a stretch, and a consequent 'deal' that he would be spared if he helped them..."

Vaux had already supplied a sworn testimony (albeit to homosexual apologist Martin Webster) to the effect that he had proof that Cotterill was a wrong 'un and had been found searching through files etc.

In light of all the above, is it any wonder that Cotterill let the seemingly 'ultra-unionist' Peter Rushton into his "EFP" and whilst running an allegedly English separatist party, continued to publish overtly unionist material and work for the WNP and SSG?

#### LATEST CON JOB

The latest news on Rushton and Cotterill is that they have a launched a new 'Nationalist Alliance' along with the rump of the WNP, the NA being the Spearhead Support Group's new name as an out-and-out political party/organisation.

This move was *against* the wishes of John Tyndall and his supporters who wanted the SSG and others to remain in the BNP where possible (to change it from within), and as John Tyndall has made clear he did not want a "new party" to rival the BNP.

No doubt Cotterill and Rushton will keep their English separatist false "EFP" going whilst organising this British unionist NA (the meeting at which the SSG announced the launch of the NA was organised by Cotterill in Blackburn - suggesting he had a prime role in the move).

Whatever Cotterill and Rushton are planning we advise genuine nationalists to steer clear of their EFP and their new NA, because as long as the deadly duo are involved, your details and security are at risk. *Don't say we didn't warn you.*

#### BISHOP KNOWLES SPEAKS

Strange things are happening in Scotland.

Dundee to be precise, and the plot is thicker than a slice of the city's namesake cake.

The (Scottish) *Daily Record* started the ball rolling with a somewhat emotional banner headline "SCUM OF A PREACHER MAN."

What might cause this intemperate language in the Glaswegian paper known for its great humanist and socialist values? Has a pastor been caught in *flagrante delicto*? Has a man of the cloth been preaching atheist communism (again?)

Temperatures have been rising on the Clyde because 19-year-old Ewan Gurr (a "twisted teenager" no less), son of Pastor Desmond Gurr of the Full Gospel Church in Dundee, is a member of the BNP!

Oh Lordy! Horror! It's true. *Imagine our shock!*

Gurr junior has not been spray painting, has not been mugging old grannies, has not been selling or taking drugs, has not been writing cheap tacky articles for a newspaper that should know better... no, he had decided to join a lawful unionist political party - unlike so many other Scots!

And so the articles at the Record began to flow. Righteous indignation. Church elders were contacted. Pastor Durr was "papparazzi'd." Rumours of James Moncur (the cur who wrote for the Record) marching through Dundee's Hilltown with a placard proclaiming, "the end of the world is nigh" have yet to be confirmed.

But, aside from the idea that Satan, sorry... Ewan, should be excommunicated for putting out BNP leaflets in the Scottish city, the funniest part of this story were the rent-a-quotes.

Yes, after the racial crimes for the area were

# SEARCHLIES STAFF UPDATE

#### Matthew Collins & the Paramilitaries

Poor old Matthew "Fat Matt" Collins. No sooner had word gone around certain patriotic quarters that the Asian-loving MP-hugging superstar of Operation Wedgie (ouch! See recent FC) had "done a Ray Hill" and disappeared, leaving Gerry "Ow a doorknob" Gable to put his own name to his articles, than Fat Matt comes back with a bang (pardon the fascist overtones).

But nothing goes right for Fat Matt. There's no such thing as a free lunch (whatever its size). In February's Searchlies Fat Matt's name appears next to two Gable titrises. One was the usual anti-NF/BNP affair, but the other was a two-pager having a go at Johnny "Mad Dog" Adair. How thrilling for Fat Matt to have his by-line put next to that particularly vivid account of Loyalist paramilitaries.

Given the proven links between Searchlies and Anti Fascist Action's IRA 'Harrods Bombers,' and Searchlies self-confessed police links, Matt sure does have a lot to

quoted (and let's compare these stats to black on white crimes in most London boroughs shall we?) and local immigrant settlers were approached for their dismay and outrage that an indigenous person should dare to make a political decision without their say-so, the usual leftist hate-peddlers were waiting in the shadows.

Nick Lowles, one-time Panorama hack and editor of State disinformation magazine Searchlight, used his mind-reading skills to predict, "Most of the Full Gospel Church's members would be appalled by Ewan Gurr's BNP role."

He also called on the congregation to "shun" the young church-goer (not very Christian Mr. Knowles - more a Jewish mentality... wouldn't you say?)

Meanwhile the Anti-Fascist Action related group Unite Against Fascism wheeled out a spokeswoman (right on!) who said: "I doubt that a church would welcome someone like this if they knew their affiliation.

"Many church groups have signed up to Unite Against Fascism to stop the BNP propagating their hatred."

So let's get that straight, the Full Gospel Church and others are supposed to join with Marxist hoodlums with convicted terrorist members, members like Des Noonan the murdered drug-running gangster - and yet Ewan Gurr about whom the worst the Daily Record could say was that he had a "pasty face, ponytail, skinny frame and glasses" should be "shunned."

How nice to know that when it comes to who to allow into their churches, church elders can turn to such well known theologians and Christian missionaries as Searchlies and UAF.

The reds used to sing about "pie in the sky" when dealing with the church. Seems somewhat preferable to today's crap in the pap(er). Sorry.

#### THE WICCA VICAR?

When it comes to religion, it seems like some in the BNP need to take a valium or two though.

Lee Barnes wrote a piece on the BNP's website in March detailing the fight of Robert Baggs to win his rights as a BNP member to apply for jobs. OK, straightforward enough so far.

However, as he celebrates the conclusion of the case Mr. Barnes writes:

"The BNP is unique amongst conventional political parties as it has an ethno-specific membership and also explicitly represents the indigenous ethnic-religious communities of our British nation - from Identity Christians, Anglo-Saxon Identity Christianity, Celtic Druidism, Anglo-Saxon Odinism, Celtic Christianity to Wicca and other pagan religions including those that see their Race as their religion and the BNP as their Church."

thank Uncle Gerry for when it comes to winding up the Loyalist men with guns!

#### Daphne Liddle - a Proud Tradition!

How nice to see a piece in Searchlies again by the inveterate Stalinist and photographer/information-gatherer for the State, Daphne Liddle. After the death of her fellow Stalinist/State asset and Searchlies co-worker Mike Cohen, many had hoped she would disappear, but Searchlies in its "proud tradition" of fighting for "democracy" just wouldn't be anywhere without its Stalinist hardcore.

And so it was delightful to see more photos by Liddle, and a write-up too, all about an NF demo in Woolwich.

Liddle and the late Cohen were hate figures on the left where many had uncovered their penchant for photographing politicos of *all* shades and running the pictures past their police contacts.

Leaving aside the Jewish and homosexual members of the BNP and how they would fit in with Identity Christians or Celtic Druids ("Mam, have you seen my cauldron?") whose beliefs may well preclude them joining an organisation with members with those beliefs/proclivities, the idea of the BNP as a church has to be one of the funniest in recent years - aside from the hard-to-shake images it produces!

*Members must be wondering how to keep their Archbishop away from the collection plates!*

#### SEARCHLIES' STAINS

We couldn't help noticing on the front of February's (30th Anniversary edition) Searchlies that many of their own early copies are badly stained, written on, taped up etc. *What a shame.* Perhaps they should have asked to use our copies, which are in much better condition!

*If only they had asked!*

#### UNITED IN THE STRUGGLE

Who should pop up at a cosy London shindig organised by would-be occultist worshipper of Cradle of Filth, Troy Southgate? Step forward Keith Thompson.

As our piece on Satanist Kerry Bolton explained (see box, page 12), Thompson has been the key-player in importing the arch-Occultist's book into the UK.

Of course Southgate, formerly of the NR Faction and 101 other 'one man and his dog' outfits is no stranger to Kerry Bolton either. As our book 'Satanism and its Allies' conclusively showed, Southgate and Bolton were comrades in an organised circle of pro-Satanic creeps trying to worm their way into nationalism by any means.

The fact that Southgate is once again linked to Bolton through Thompson should come as no real surprise. What's clear though is that Southgate and Bolton are, once again, trying to get their cranky beliefs accepted in nationalist circles.

#### SATANISM AND ITS ALLIES

Satanism and its Allies was a revolutionary book when it was published in 1998 because it exposed Satanist weirdoes who were trying to infiltrate nationalism.

Furthermore it traced the roots of Satanism back to Jewish Kabbalism, with its proclivity for drugs, black magic and sexual deviancy.

From Aleister Crowley to Kerry Bolton, Satanists have done what they can to undermine genuine nationalism - and we should all be aware of these creeps and their tactics.

**Satanism and its Allies is available to buy from FC now for £3.50 plus postage.**

# FINAL CONFLICT

## THE REVIEWS PAGES

### BOOKS

**HOW IT WAS DONE - The Rise of Burnley BNP: The Inside Story by Steven Smith.** Cliviger Press 2004.

At the title suggests this is Steve Smith's personal account of his time building and leading the BNP in Burnley - once a backwater for nationalism (in the shadow of neighbouring Blackburn which returned nationalist councillors in the 1970s for the National Party, an alleged "Strasserite" breakaway from the National Front) and now (in)famous for voting in up to eight BNP councillors.

This is a frank documentation of "how it was done" and begins with a trip in 1993 to a standard BNP Annual Rally. I had no inside knowledge of the leadership of Burnley BNP and the "office politics" of the BNP at that time or in that region and so much of what I read was new to me.

Within the first half dozen pages, in his remarks on the 1993 Annual Rally, I noticed that Smith had given a thumbs-up to John Tyndall ("Mr. Tyndall did not disappoint") and a discreet put-down of Nick Griffin ("slouched in a chair with his hands in his pockets, smirking at the audience"), so I had to assume that Burnley BNP were clearly what might be labelled "Tyndallistas" (as opposed to being "Griffinites"). Along with an Introduction by Richard Edmonds and a postscript to the Introduction by John Tyndall which - given the great animosity of the current BNP leadership to those "ex-leaders" - marked out the author as not being enamoured of the current BNP leadership (indeed, the cynical might surmise that the time of publication and the anti-Griffin undercurrent was either intentionally part of the John Tyndall leadership campaign; if not it will certainly be grasped by the Tyndallistas and be a source of much gurning and "sucking-on-a-lemon" visages amongst the Griffinites).

This did perplex me a little. After all, surely Burnley BNP had benefited from the BNP's "modernisation" under Nick Griffin and certainly the BNP 'modernisers' point to the success of Burnley as the reason (and result!) of their process.

So was there a conflict in this modernisation process? Why else would someone who oversaw a huge grass roots political machine give credence and support to a man like John Tyndall whom some, in the BNP, have painted as a political dinosaur, a crank, and an extremist? Perhaps this was personal as so much in the history of politics and especially nationalism has tended to be? Smith doesn't embellish the story

sufficiently, but there doesn't seem a political, or moreover an ideological, reasoning for his assaults on Griffin. These tend rather, as we'll see later in the book, to be attacks on his leadership qualities, his affability and his financial gain at the head of the BNP, as Smith sees it.

I hoped the book might give me a insight into this so as better to understand grass roots feeling in the BNP to current trends (and 'counter-trends') in nationalism.

The book begins with Smith's endeavours to build the BNP in Burnley from scratch and his methodology, which was steady and practical, and helped by his background in local Conservative politics as well as his business background and his experience in dealing with the media as the organiser of a local small museum of Burnley.

The first by-election fought in Burnley saw Smith and his colleagues focus on one ward, doing much of the 'donkey work' themselves, putting out leaflets, following up enquiries, building a database, and eventually canvassing. The result in their target ward was 20%.

This was something revolutionary according to nationalist standards at the time (though an ITP-aligned group in Isleworth, Middlesex, had achieved high votes by carrying out similar community low-level politics in the early 90s though this later mutated into a totally non-political, though successful, residents' group). It was proof that hard work, local roots and local knowledge could produce the goods and that many people in many areas are sympathetic to nationalism. Smith obviously doesn't say as much, but with the right approach and putting a human face to nationalism, this could have been achieved if Smith and his colleagues had fought under the banner of the BNP, NF, National Democrats - the important thing was to present a thoroughly nationalist message with a local, human face. All credit to Smith and the Burnley BNP - they did it!

I didn't have to wait very long for my confirmation of how Smith felt about Griffin. Smith made it clear to Chris Jackson (the long-standing NW regional BNP organiser) that he wanted to be 'left alone' to run the local BNP his way. Thus when Griffin (against the perceived protocol) invited himself to address a

#### GET FC MERCHANDISE UPDATES BY E-MAIL

FC sends out a small, irregular bulletin, on average once a week, updating supporters on new stock in, detailing special offers, reviewing items and suchlike. To receive this bulletin alone, e-mail [finalconflict@dial.pipex.com](mailto:finalconflict@dial.pipex.com) with the message "subscribe to merchandise bulletin."

Burnley BNP meeting it clearly irked Smith. This was at a time when Griffin had himself just launched his leadership bid against Tyndall.

Smith does not mince his words:

*"Mr. Griffin struck me as both thoroughly shallow but also extremely ruthless - but only to the extent of looking after himself. Subsequent events have proven my initial assessment of this man to be more than 100 per cent accurate."*

There was clearly no love lost between the two and that self-evidently continues down to this day, with Smith eventually openly supporting the pro-Tyndall Spearhead Support Group.

The book continues to tell the tale of Smith's endeavours to win elections in Burnley - his all out campaigning, getting candidates, pushing activities, the mundane (leafleting) along with the imaginative, the BNP banner on a disused chimney was seen, via the media, by a large number of people in the UK - not just the many who drove past it day in day out. Smith also explains why he forged signatures, why he didn't regret doing it, and his stint in jail - which he used to state to the people of Burnley that he was a political prisoner because his sentence was abnormal, in a world where real and damaging corruption in councils is rife and generally goes unpunished.

As Smith delves back into the campaigning maelstrom following his release he quickly goes on to have another dig at the BNP leadership.

*"...there does seem a danger that [destroyed morale] could occur. Venom has been spat at us from all quarters [of the BNP's leadership]..."*

*"To Simon Bennett and me, it was quite simply unbelievable. By now the leadership had neutered Burnley BNP's ability to control its own funds. Money being raised at our regular monthly meetings were now being ringfenced and had to be banked. This was, I'm afraid to say, the last we saw of it. This meant we could not now, among other things, service our database of supporters, which consequently had a devastating impact upon our capacity to function in the way I knew was necessary if we were to continue making progress."*

It is ironic that Smith had one of his first gripes against the leadership

- that they would not financially back his local campaigning, multiplied in effect by the leadership's financial neutering of a high performing branch which again effected their local campaigning - the very thing their success, which had delivered national notoriety for the BNP - was built upon.

Smith calls this a *"blatant dereliction of duty."*


All this was bubbling away prior to Smith, Bennett and their colleagues gaining 8 council seats and to the casual observer it does seem strange to endanger a situation which was bringing in so many donations, recruits and so much free publicity. Smith lists a number of possible reasons none of which leave the BNP leadership smelling of roses.

After acknowledging local help, Smith seeks to defend Peter Rushton and Mark Cotterill (see recent FCs for these two's shenanigans). Whilst acknowledging that he stayed clear of the BNP's "internal politics" Steve simply saw them as people the BNP ostracised unnecessarily.

Of course in hindsight and given the role these two people have had in joining and splitting the National Front in the NW, joining using and being expelled from the WNP, setting up an "England First Party" when England First already existed, and a general campaign of lies and deception against other nationalists which has annoyed and angered genuine nationalists from as far apart as England First, the National Front, the BNP and Blood & Honour - this is one example where a knowledge of "internal politics" may have helped Burnley BNP understand a little more.

Of course the reasons for Cotterill's leaving the BNP are somewhat clouded anyway - Smith himself says *"the reasons for this are not, and probably never will be known"* - leaving some to surmise that the (former) right-wing conservative unionist joined the "extremist" C18-linked White Nationalist Party because of a rift that was financial, and not political, with the BNP leadership - possibly linked with the large sums of money he raised and controlled for the BNP in America. Others point at a career of joining and/or attacking/wrecking groups, which has had a frightening speed and breadth over just a couple of years since his return from America.

Certainly just as the snubbing of Smith in Burnley, according to reading this book, seems to have been in-part due to finances, it's highly likely



that the snubbing of Cotterill is rooted in finances - nobody *really* knows how much he raised in America when he ran the "American Friends of the BNP", nor where that money ended up.

As for Rushton, Smith is convinced that he was expelled (though the BNP, in a booklet on Rushton that the leadership put out, claim he was only ever a member in 1995) because of his links to John Tyndall, the original BNP leader. This may be the truth as far as the current BNP leadership was/is concerned - however it is also fair to say that there are plenty of people around that we at FC are aware of who are either pro-JT or are genuine 'neutrals' in the BNP leadership question, who do not trust Rushton or consider him a 100% wrong 'un who feeds information to Searchlight.

One of the people that provided evidence against Rushton before he was made persona non grata by the BNP (according to the aforementioned BNP booklet) was the NW regional organiser who had brought Smith into the BNP and who's tenacity and honesty Smith admires in the book - Chris Jackson.

On the question of Rushton, there is simply too much evidence and too many decent people in various quarters who have first hand knowledge of his dodginess: and being a good historian, writer or "convivial fellow" don't do anything to dampen down the flames of pretty hefty proof against him.

But to return to the fray; in the run-up to the 2003 elections which were to see 8 BNP candidates elected in the town, Smith tells the story of a left-wing demonstration against a BNP meeting: the first the left had carried out.

Smith is convinced that the BNP leadership, who were cross that Burnley BNP had ignored their pleas to stop John Tyndall speaking, leaked out the details of the meeting. He is forthright on this:

*"It was these events more than any other, I think, that confirmed my worst fears, which were that the leadership of the BNP were more interested in themselves than the party, as evidenced by their preparedness to discredit their most successful branch simply to get at John Tyndall. It occurred to me that they had not freed themselves from the yoke of the old nationalist mindset of only seeing Britain's foremost nationalist political organisation as a means of enriching themselves and their hangers-on, whilst allowing people like Simon Bennett to bleed to death financially."*

Smith then blames Nick Griffin personally for retaining that mindset at the expense of the advances made by Burnley BNP. However, he also points out that Burnley BNP took no "sides" in the factionalism, inviting both Tyndall and Griffin to its meetings. Indeed, he is at pains to state that it was Nick Griffin whom he

invited for the final branch meeting at which "the troops" were to be roused into fighting the elections.

The elections themselves, and the resulting furore are covered of course, though it would have been interesting if they were covered in a little more depth, perhaps with some individual stories and a few more anecdotes. Smith then goes on, at some length, to go over the election and resignation of his own nephew, the 21-year-old Luke Smith - the background to which, he argues, was the cowardice of others of more maturity failing to stand.

In the shadow of the election of 8 councillors Smith earmarks two events that marked exactly how opponents of the local BNP (from within and without the BNP itself) would react.

One was some involvement of Nick Griffin (which Smith doesn't linger on) in the by-election brought about by Luke Smith's resignation, plus an attempt to prevent Burnley BNP distributing 1500 BNP papers in the ward by the BNP leadership.

The second was the ruling Labour group's refusal to allow any BNP councillors to be on any decision-making bodies of the council.

It was clear to Smith that certain people were out to try and minimise the work and impact of the branch and the councillors.

Towards the end of the book Smith gives examples of how Burnley BNP's campaigning is continuing and how the sitting councillors still remain the focus of nationalist opposition to system politicians in Britain.

At the end of the book you do feel that the story peters out simply because of when it was written, not reaching a substantive conclusion - but in fairness to the author the book was about "how it was done" and we are told this - and more.

It might have been handy, for example, to look into the story of how and why Councillor Maureen Stowe dropped out of the BNP and "went over" to the enemy, doing interviews with Searchlight etc. etc., as this would have given nationalist activists useful first-hand advice on tell-tale signs, possible infiltration and/or preparing against those who are prime candidates to be "turned" - though it could be that the main aim of the book was to remain positive, but in light of the open attacks on Nick Griffin this is questionable.

Whether you are a BNP supporter or not, this book is an interesting read because it shows how the "improbable" can be achieved and the work that went into making the BNP a household name.

In essence what Smith advances is the idea of the political soldier. He argues that it only takes a handful (or a couple!) of dedicated activists willing to get out there and do the hard, laborious, mundane work - which can end with more supporters, building local strength, fighting on local, community issues, carrying out easy,

## GET YOUR CDs FROM FC!

FINAL CONFLICT not only sells its CDs for a *fantastic* £8, reason enough to buy your CDs from FC, but there's 2 other reasons why you should buy your CDs from FC:

1. If you buy 5 you can choose *one more FREE*. If you buy 10 you can choose *another three FREE*.
2. *All the money* raised by FC via CD sales goes back into the production of FC magazine, CDs, stickers, post cards, posters and whatever else we can produce to further the Nationalist cause.

*So why are you waiting?* Get a great deal and help the cause - order your CDs from FC today!

To see our *full* list of CDs, either send off for our latest catalogue, or e-mail: [finalconflict@dial.pipex.com](mailto:finalconflict@dial.pipex.com) or visit [www.politalsoldier.net](http://www.politalsoldier.net)

but eye-catching stunts, and ends with a stable, fighting presence and - to the outside world - a "nationalist town."

It's a message that all nationalists need to hear.

**How it Was Done** by Steven Smith is available from FC for £5 plus postage.

## MAGAZINES

**History Today**. What caught my eye in Vol 55 #3 of this mainstream magazine was a piece on Bomber Command and the carpet-bombing of German cities in WW2.

What was interesting about this piece was that the author, James Barker, makes out that Bomber Harris was "obeying orders" in carrying out the raids, but that it seemed to Barker that after the firestorm in Dresden, Churchill used Harris as a scapegoat for a murderous policy that Churchill had not only given the OK to, but had pursued vigorously.

An interest smaller article, by David Spark, tells the story of "the man who tried to stop the Dresden raids" - RAF Wing Commander Jim Rose (a Jew, later the chairman of Penguin Books) the head of the air section at Bletchley Park (the UK's

Bomber Command would not rescind the order.

As Goebbels wrote in his publication Das Reich: "*A city skyline of perfect harmony has been wiped from the European heavens.*"

In the same issue of the magazine is an excellent piece on American Imperialism entitled "We Don't Do Empire" by Bernard Porter who compares and contrasts the British Empire with American "acquisitions" today, noting that modern American denials of Imperialism mirror arguments used by the British in the nineteenth century.

With a feature on great political cartoons and much more, if this is a standard issue of *History Today* then it's a magazine worth getting regularly.

## MUSIC

**Anticorrosivo** by Armco.

**Available from**  The latest release by the grandpappy's of Italian

RAC, and whilst my Italian is *molto bene*, I'm afraid I had to judge this one for its sound (though I think we can safely assume Armco are on pretty solid ground politically).

Armco present the solid RAC skinhead


rock we've come to expect from the Italian B&Hmeisters, and anyone who's enjoyed previous Peggior Amico and Armco releases will not be disappointed.

Armco manage to combine sing-along catchy songs, solid skinhead rock with superb guitarwork and drumming. Just what you'd want and expect.

Possibly the best song on the CD is "Non Sei Solo" for its all-round consummate professional rock sound, catchy chanted lyrics - and they even manage to sneak a harmonica in there! Bravissimo!

Keeping their political message (with songs like "Serial Killer", which is a musically stunning song, "Il Marchio Dell' Infamia" and "New Economy") and their grassroots influence (with songs like "Skinhead" and "La Legge Della Strada") Armco are continuing in a Italian tradition, loyal to its roots, professional in its delivery and constant in its stance.

Grazie a mil Armco! ☺ ☺ ☺ ☺ ☺ ½



forerunner to GCHQ).

On hearing that Bonn (the birthplace of Beethoven) was to be targeted he complained that it had no military targets. On hearing that Dresden was to be targeted Rose said, "*It seemed to me tragic that this beautiful city should be destroyed. We were getting near the end of the war.*"

Rose discovered that the Americans would pull out of bombing Dresden if the British agreed.

## Nor Cal Hate Core by Frontline.

**Available from FC.** This is a CD for those who like their racist music fast, catchy, sing-a-longtastic with guts!




Great tunes includes "Shades of Grey" - a song against multi-racial rootless mediocrity, "Because You're Young" - an anthem to youthful exuberance and the certainties of youth; "Anti Anarchy" is a fun poke at the whingers and whiners in the anarchist scene (you know the sort, live on state handouts, never do a days work and think the world owes them a living); "Side by Side" is a call to arms, a call to nationalist solidarity in face of media and politicians who denigrate working whites; then there's a bounce-around-the-room version of Skrewdriver's "White Power" which runs seamlessly into the title track of the CD, which has a catchy chorus - and if you don't sing it when you play it, you'll be forced to wear a large pink triangle!

Frontline pull no punches and some will balk at some of their 'racer' lyric at dealing with drugs gangs and Zionist bosses, but this stuff is the product of an America where black-on-white crime is endemic and the patriotic workingman's rights come second place to securing Israel's future.

Those who like no-nonsense RAC melodic heavy-edged sing-a-long music will thoroughly enjoy this CD.

☺ ☺ ☺ ☺ ½

**Kick the Reds in by Whitelaw. Available from FC.** The long awaited third CD from the Notts-based band. Would it deliver the goods that Whitelaw's salivating fans were anticipating?



The CD opens with the title track - already a favourite at gigs with its singalong chanty chorus, nifty guitar work and well-pounded drums - and on the back of that the CD had a lot to live up to - which, in my humble opinion it does.

There are plenty of enjoyable tracks on this CD including: "Home Sweet Home" with its 'England' chant and solid bass-line; "Red Star" a salute to Russian White patriots; "What You Gonna Do?" a solid call to arms for Whites with another punchy chorus; "We Warned You" is a message to all those now worried about immigration - as Benny said in an intro to the song at the Violent Storm Memorial 2005, "we warned them in the Seventies, in the Eighties, in the Nineties..." "Deutsche Youth" is an anthem to German Nationalists' indefatigability in the face of State oppression.

One of the best songs on the CD - and certainly, for my money, one of

the best songs Whitelaw do live - is "We Get Nothing," a cry of the frustration felt by Whites who see everything given to immigrants and also feel the authorities coming down hard on Whites because of political correctness. Heart-felt lyrics, strong guitarwork and yet another catchy chorus make this song an absolute gem.

There also has to be a mention for Paul's guitar work in Wardog (No, Cumbrian John, not Warthog). I tried to keep up with it bouncing around the living room with my 'air guitar' and got several bruises after falling off the settee! What a rocking song!

Also on the CD is a song by Ian Stuart, which I hadn't heard before. "I Don't Know" is a song about workers and students who march for Communism. It's a catchy song with 'I don't know' tagged on at the end of most lines - and a nifty little guitar solo: a great song.

Overall this is a CD that fans of skinhead rock music will love. The band really works well together, with uncompromising, intelligent lyrics, a solid oi foundation, just the right amount of guitar riffs and solos - all backed up by superb handling of the bass and drums by Steve and Baz. The worst that can be said is that a couple of the tracks don't live up to the quality of the others - but they are minor glitches, merely 'good' songs in a sea of scrumdidlyumptiousness offered to us by the East Midlands lads.

The production is clear and crisp and the result is a great CD from a band, which already has a well-deserved reputation for consistency in delivering the goods.

At the ISD Memorial festival 2004 Whitelaw put up possibly the biggest band banner seen in recent years. This CD proves they have every justification for such extravagance.

Based on the evidence here m'lud this band is found guilty of excellence in the pursuit of White Pride music. Take them away... (and buy them some beer!) ☺ ☺ ☺ ☺

**TV**

**MacIntyre's Underworld, Channel 5.** An episode of MacIntyre's Underworld, on the subject of Gangsters back in March, featured the Noonan family from Manchester.

Some nationalists may have heard of the

Noonans before, because they were the main hoodlums involved in Manchester's violent Anti Fascist Action.

AFA has always drawn from Irish IRA supporters who live in England (as well as Jewish hoods in Manchester) and the Noonans fell into that category.

What became clear fairly soon was that the Noonans with their entourage of semi-literate snotty-nosed kids in ill-fitting suits were nothing more than organised pikeys (what Irish comrades sneeringly call 'knackers,' who used to live on Irish roadsides before the Irish government had the sense to clamp down on them - unfortunately meaning more of them coming here to scrounge).

Indeed the Noonan household, replete with a few half-caste kids, typified a pikey slum.

What made this TV programme so special was Dessie Noonan, the brother of the main protagonist Dominic, boasting that they had more guns than the police and that they were 'untouchable,' whilst laughing at the fact the police suspected him for circa 30 murders - and yet just a couple of days before the programme aired Des Noonan was stabbed and killed on a Chorlton Street.

Funnily enough, Searchlight, which once listed the Manchester AFA address on its back cover for many years, resisted the urge to eulogise it's murderous comrade - perhaps for fear of losing profitable Police training contracts.

Derek McDuffus from South Manchester (and previously of Chorlton) has been charged with Des



**Donal "Wire me up before you go-go" MacIntyre**

Noonan's murder and Shajahan Cooke from Chorlton has been charged with attempting to pervert the course of justice.

Noonan was murdered on Friday the 18th of March, and despite an initial court injunction won by the police to prevent the programme being aired (on grounds of good taste?) Channel 5 won a court appeal in Preston late on Tuesday the 22nd, the day the programme went out.

The programme itself was most cringeworthy for the 'ars-likhan' nature of Donal MacIntyre's questions to Dominic Noonan.

Yes, the 'moral crusader' who tut tutted at Chelsea Headhunters on his BBC Undercover programme was fawning and obsequious when it

came to the Urdu-speaking homosexual leftist hoodlum Noonan, with barely a condemnatory word passing his lips. Indeed it was MacIntyre who referred to Noonan's

snotty relatives in ill-fitting suits as "smart" as they shuffled around after 'Dom' looking like shop dummies in an Oxfam shop.

Perhaps the multi-million pound thief Noonan and the "six-figure contract" MacIntyre felt a socialist affinity; what else could explain a journalist who passes information to the police working with criminal low-lives with impunity?

Perhaps the Noonans needed the publicity to increase their notoriety, though Des Noonan's murder may well have been a result, as others in Manchester heard of them spending time with and giving information to, a *known* police informer.

Might the murder have always been on the cards - and the perfect ratings boost as MacIntyre switched to the hardly-watched soft porn channel? It certainly provides the authorities with even more reason to trapse through the Noonans' comings and goings.

Will MacIntyre hand over his unshown film footage to the police on these left-wing murderers and extortionists as he did with the Chelsea Headhunters?

As always with MacIntyre, there could be more than meets the eye to his work - and this latest series of programmes certainly raises more questions about his role as 'criminal to state information conduit' now working at the BBC.



## HOW FAR FOR TOLERANCE?

LIFE IN multi-racial England is nothing but interesting. Some time ago in the mid 1980s, *National Front News* ran a front-page story headed 'The Horns of a Dilemma' which outlined how liberal multi-racists would run up against ultra-conservative values held by immigrants. How could the two be reconciled? Would the liberals concede to "hateful" behaviour by outsiders in the name of "inclusivity" and tolerance?

Fast forward to 2005 and what a lot of dilemmas these people are facing. Trevor Phillips of the *Campaign for Racial Equality* even wants to end multi-culturalism, because he thinks we should all be 'British' in one big Union Jack flavoured melting pot.

Meanwhile violence erupted in the 2005 General Election in the East End of London as the coloured-Jewish New Labour candidate Oona King, who favoured the Iraq War, squared up to the Reds in George Galloway's Respect Party campaign team.

Liberal non-White Lefty Capitalists fought against Old Skool Socialists and Communists, each of them with many Asian/Muslim followers. Add to this heady mixture a pic-n-mix of hardline Muslim groups out causing violence against both groups because they believe voting is a sin against Islam - and you get a three-way battle in which anti-war, pro-war, pro-homosexual, anti-homosexual, pro-election and anti-election factions fought against each other, tyres were slashed and 'Gorgeous George' Galloway, now the MP for Bethnal Green & Bow, was even held hostage by Muslims.

*Oh what is a liberal to do?* Was the Iraq War good to get rid of the latest Hitler? Is George right to oppose capitalist war profiteering? Are the Muslims to have their rights as Muslims in modern multi-cult Britain? Decisions, decisions...

Meanwhile the "is she, isn't she?" (girl)friend of Tony Blair's son Euan, Luciana Berger, is reported to have quit the National Union of Students in April because it was "riddled with Anti-Semitism" with no-one prepared to root it out. Luciana (who just happens to be Jewish) even tried to get 'Red Ken' Livingstone banned from addressing a NUS conference in 2004.

### Pick of the Day

by Simon Horsford



Test of faith: reconciling religion and sexuality (BBC 4, 10.00 pm)

Storyline: Trembling Before G-d

BBC 4, 10.00 pm

Sandi Simcha Dubowski's moving film highlights the ostracism faced by Orthodox and Hassidic Jews who are gay. With homosexual

### THE NOTICE BOARD



Gaz: Congrats on No. 5. Hoffman wants to know how many tattoos you've got now? Can't talk now - Man Utd are on the box!!!

Nick: Thanks for the CDs. Much appreciated!

Ozzy: Are you supposed to slip around like that when you're dancing?



Fascist salutes on the pitch, 'Rome is Fascist,' 'Boia Chi Molla,' and Celtic Cross flags in the crowd. Lazio have to be the top nationalist football team in Europe.



## HOOTS MON

THE LORD Provost of Glasgow is seen here welcoming 100-year-old Niaz Ahmed with an official British citizenship certificate.

According to council produced propaganda "Mr. Ahmad, who was born in northern India, has been a regular visitor to the city since 1981."

Mr. Ahmad and his wife both received citizenship - just in time to get all that free NHS treatment, housing, pension etc.

Their son, Sarfraz, said his father was "delighted at becoming a Glaswegian.[sic]" I bet he was!

Quite why the politicians are so keen to let more Asians become citizens, can only be explained by reference to their multi-racist dogma and the effort to grab "ethnic votes."

Personally I think the Provost should be in another sort of chains for treason.

What's funny is that the Tories would say he's now "British", but the SNP would argue he's now "Scottish."

Your bestest Ron isn't afraid to call (ahem) a spade a spade, and so for me Mr. Ahmad and his ilk will *always* be Asians.

You are what your blood, heritage and kin dictate - not what a bit of paper may or may not say.



## TULSA WORLD

### AMERICA PUSHED ISRAEL ONTO THE BOOKS IN 1948

AMERICA IS the main financial and political backer of Israel. All thinking Europeans, Americans, Arabs and people all over the world know this simple fact.

But how far back does this support go?

Well, leaving aside America's questionable entry into WW2, the setting up of the Federal Reserve in 1913 and so on, things were pretty clear on the establishment of the bandit state of Israel in 1948.

The front page above of the Tulsa World on May 15th 1948 (sent in to FC by a kind American supporter) shows just how close America has always been to the Zionist State.

The Tulsa World reported that the British 20-year-mandate on Palestine had ended at midnight. One minute later "Jewish leaders" proclaimed that state of Israel.

Just "a few minutes after that" President Harry S. Truman extended U.S. diplomatic recognition to the Jewish state, ignoring the United Nations.

Ring any bells?

America has always supported the Jewish state, which was built on terrorism and exists on the rule of terror, expulsion, land grabbing, illegal nuclear weapons manufacturing, illegal murder, jailings and torture.

Whatever your thoughts on the UN, isn't it strange how America ignores it when it comes to Zionist machinations throughout the Middle East - and yet uses UN resolutions when it needs to justify other international shenanigans.

The U.S. Federal Government *always has been and always will be* a fop to the criminal Zionist state. Nothing has changed in the 60-odd years of the occupation of Palestine.


The more things change, the more they stay the same...

### Dodgy Herbert Corner



# BOOKS BY DAVID IRVING

The following books are all signed by the author and come superbly presented in hardback and dust jacket, with glossy illustrations. Little needs to be said about David Irving's books. Insightful, revealing, authoritative. The author has faced calumnies and vilification for being open and honest about history. Read these superb books and you'll understand why.









CHURCHILL'S WAR VOL. 1 - THE STRUGGLE FOR POWER. £25.

CHURCHILL'S WAR VOL. 2 - TRIUMPH IN ADVERSITY. £25.

HITLER'S WAR. £30.

NUREMBURG - THE LAST BATTLE. £27.90



## DISTRIBUTUTISM & SOCIAL JUSTICE

AN ESSAY ON THE RESTORATION OF PROPERTY  
by HILAIRE BELLOC.

Belloc outlines his vision of a Distributist society. £7.

THE OUTLINE OF SANITY by G.K. CHESTERTON.  
GKC's famous rallying call to sane politics and a just society. £12.




UTOPIA OF USURERS by G.K. CHESTERTON.  
GKC warns against the banking system. £8.50.

THE GAUNTLET - A CHALLENGE TO THE MYTH OF PROGRESS by ARTHUR J. PENTY.  
A collection of this great patriot's essays. £6.

ETHICS AND THE NATIONAL ECONOMY  
by FR. HEINRICH PESCH.  
An alternative to Capitalism and the rule of the bankers. £8.50.

DISTRIBUTUTIST PERSPECTIVES VOL.1 by VARIOUS.  
A must-have collection of essays from many Distributist writers on all manner of subjects. £6.

FLEE TO THE FIELDS - THE FOUNDING PAPERS OF THE CATHOLIC LAND MOVEMENT by VARIOUS.  
With a preface by Belloc this gives a useful insight into one of the patriotic 'back to the land' movements of pre-War England. £6.




Plus Two more books of interest...

DOLFUSS - AN AUSTRIAN PATRIOT

by FR. J. MESSNER.

Dolfuss was the Austrian leader in the era of the "fascists" and an unsung hero of European nationalism. £8

TWELVE TYPES  
by G.K. CHESTERTON.  
A collection of biographies by the patriotic writer.  
From Charles II to Sir Walter Scott, from Charlotte Bronte to St Francis - something for everyone. £5.50



DOLFUSS  
An Austrian Patriot



G.K. Chesterton

Twelve  
Types

A Collection of  
mini-Biographies

# Heroes of Europe

Reconquistadors of Spain  
Ferdinand & Isabella's  
Christian Forces

[www.politicalsoldier.net](http://www.politicalsoldier.net)

